

## Appendix C to Cover memo

**Project:** AASB Work Plan 2017-2019 Meeting AASB May 2017 (M157)

**Topic:** Appendix C – Comparison to Agenda Item: 3.0.3

August 2016 recommendations

Contact(s): Evelyn Ling | Project Priority: High

eling@aasb.gov.au 03 9617 7631 Decision- High

Kala Kandiah

Making:

Rusicat S

kkandiah@aasb.gov.au

Project Status: Work programme

03 9617 7626 planning

## Introduction and objective of this meeting

The following table is extracted from Agenda Paper 8.0 of the August 2016 meeting. It sets out the topics identified from feedback received on ITC 34 AASB Agenda Consultation 2017-2019 and the staff recommendations made in Agenda Paper 8.0 of the August 2016 meeting.

2 Staff have added a column to the table to summarise for the Board the updated staff recommendations made in Agenda Paper 3.0.

## Proposed action compared to previous recommendation

	From Agenda Paper 8.0 of the August 2016 meeting					
Ref	Торіс	Feedback Received / Issues raised or previously noted by the Board	Staff recommendation (August 2016 AP 8.0)	Staff recommendation (this agenda paper)		
For a	or all sectors					
A1	Australian Reporting Framework	Many constituents recommended the AASB to continue the Australian Reporting Framework project as a high priority project and the project should consider for not-for-profit entities and small-to-medium private entities.  A stakeholder suggested the possibility of a third tier of financial reporting which could be used by small entities such as small charities.  Most stakeholders suggested the AASB to:  (a) consider the possibility of a third tier of financial reporting which has fewer disclosure requirements and simplified recognition and measurement for small entities;  (b) revisit and clarify the concept of reporting entities and special-purpose financial reporting; and  (c) reconsider criteria of entities for Tier 1 and Tier 2.	Staff consider that these recommendations are already being addressed as part of the current Australian Reporting Framework project (high priority), so do not recommend any additional projects are needed at this stage.	Continue work on the project, including re-specification of the project scope.  Staff note the re-specification of the project scope may result in some projects identified herein as pipeline projects being incorporated into a future phase of the Australian Reporting Framework project.		
A2	Discounting of long term liabilities & AASB 119 Employee Benefits	A number of constituents recommended the AASB consider the use of other rates instead of spot rate in the public sector, such as longer term average interest rates or rolling rate. The recommendation is to address the concerns about the existing magnitude of employee liabilities and relatively large proportion of defined benefit superannuation obligations, which can result in the surplus or deficit arising from the impact of policy decisions being overshadowed by spot rate movements.	Staff recommend a project plan be developed.	Consider as a potential project when resources become available – no further work on this topic until such time.		

	From Agenda Paper 8.0 of the August 2016 meeting			
Ref	Topic	Feedback Received / Issues raised or previously noted by the Board	Staff recommendation (August 2016 AP 8.0)	Staff recommendation (this agenda paper)
		A stakeholder further recommends that if this project is progressed, the wider issue of consistency of the discounting of other long term liabilities be considered  Some stakeholders noted that discount rates can cause volatility in the financial information. Different items may use different discount rates which give rise to unreliable financial information.		
A3	AASB 13 Fair value measurement  AASB 116 Property, Plant and Equipment	<ol> <li>Public sector:         <ol> <li>Stakeholders suggested the Board provide guidance as to how the fair value measurement requirements are to be applied. In particular, stakeholders suggested that the guidance should clarify:</li></ol></li></ol>	Public sector:  As noted in the Basis for Conclusions for AASB 2015-7 Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards – Fair Value Disclosures of Not-for-Profit Public Sector Entities, the Board is consider whether a broader project on revisiting AASB 13 should be conducted, pending the outcome of related current Board projects, including its projects on the review of the Reduced Disclosure Regime (Tier 2), Australian Reporting Framework, and Conceptual Framework. Staff note that the review of the Reduced Disclosure Regime (Tier 2), Australian Reporting Framework, and Conceptual Framework, and Conceptual Framework, and Conceptual Framework, and Conceptual Framework are now	<ol> <li>Add project on AASB 13 for public sector entities onto the Board's active agenda.</li> <li>Consider development of guidance on "directly attributable costs" as a potential project when resources become available – no further work on this topic until such time.</li> <li>Consider development of guidance on accounting requirements pertaining to depreciation, including the relationship between fair value and accounting depreciation when resources become available – no further work on this topic until such time.</li> </ol>

Ref	Topic	Feedback Received / Issues raised or previously noted by the Board	Staff recommendation (August 2016 AP 8.0)	Staff recommendation (this agenda paper)
		<ul> <li>Sector Entities.</li> <li>4. A stakeholder suggested that the AASB reconsider the requirement to have all property, plant and equipment measured at fair value.</li> <li>5. A stakeholder requested clearer guidance in AASB 116 around the concept of "directly attributable" as a key asset capitalisation criterion, as it is expected that certain costs initially capitalised are effectively "written-off" in subsequent revaluations. There have been instances where the cost of constructing an asset would exceed the value ascribed by a valuer when estimating the replacement cost of recreating the service potential of the newly constructed asset.</li> <li>For-profit sector:</li> <li>A stakeholder has requested the Board to provide guidance in three areas:</li> <li>(a) the relationship between the fair value (where current replacement cost is used to measure fair value) and accounting depreciation, including the term 'depreciated replacement cost' and the role of accumulated depreciation in determining fair value;</li> <li>(b) whether depreciation expense can be determined other than by reference to an asset's depreciable amount. The submissions assets that depreciable amount is the result of an asset's replacement cost less its residual value; however replacement cost is not equal to fair</li> </ul>	substantially progressed.  Staff recommend a project plan be developed.  In respect to the suggestion for the AASB to reconsider the requirement to have all property, plant and equipment measured at fair value, staff do not think this is an issue as the AASB does not require assets to be held at fair value, staff do not recommend a PIR to be undertaken.  Staff recommend additional outreach be conducted in relation to the concept of directly attributable under AASB 116 to understand how widespread this issue is prior to making a recommendation as to whether a specific project be undertaken by the Board on this issue.  For-profit entities:  Staff recommend outreach to be performed in relation to this issue to understand the extent, if any, of diversity in practice.	

	From Agenda Paper 8.0 of the August 2016 meeting			
Ref	Topic	Feedback Received / Issues raised or previously noted by the Board	Staff recommendation (August 2016 AP 8.0)	Staff recommendation (this agenda paper)
		value; and  (c) whether the expected consumption pattern of remaining service potential of an asset over its life cycle can be used to allocate the depreciable amount of the asset over its useful life.		
B1	Licences	As part of the service concession arrangements project the Board considered the relationship between service concession arrangements and other licences granted by government, and instructed staff to conduct research on the nature and accounting for various licences. The purpose of this research is to inform the Board as to whether a separate project may be required to consider the accounting for these types of licences.	Staff have undertaken targeted outreach on this issue and understand that accounting for licences, in particular in the public sector, is a significant issue in practice.  Agenda Paper 3.9 for this Board meeting addresses licenses in the context of Income of Not-for-Profit Entities project. If licenses are not to be addressed within that project, then staff recommend a project plan be developed relating to intangible assets of public sector entities, which would incorporate accounting for licences.	Add project on the accounting for intangible assets of public sector entities onto the Board's active agenda.
B2	AASB 108 Accounting policies, changes in accounting estimates	Some public sector stakeholders suggested revising AASB 108 Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors. Most of the comments are related to the application of	Staff are not aware of any public sector/not-for-profit differences in transactions that would mean that a different	

		From Agenda Paper 8.0 of the August 2016 meeting	9	
Ref	Topic	Feedback Received / Issues raised or previously noted by the Board	Staff recommendation (August 2016 AP 8.0)	Staff recommendation (this agenda paper)
	and errors	materiality, in particular on the following matters:  (a) whether immaterial prior year errors can be adjusted retrospectively; and  (b) whether a third balance sheet should be prepared for the retrospective correction of prior period errors that are not quantitatively material?  A stakeholder also commented that there are difficulties in distinguishing between an error and change in accounting policy and between a change in accounting policy and a change in estimate in the public sector.	requirement or additional guidance within the Standard is needed to address specific concerns.  Staff do not recommend undertaking a specific project on this issue. However, staff recommend undertaking further outreach on this issue to assess whether it is a broader issue that may require some education material to be developed by AASB staff to assist in applying the Standard in practice.	
B3	Going Concern Assumption	A stakeholder suggested that the Board should provide clarity on the existing requirements of AASB 101 <i>Presentation of Financial Statements</i> because paragraphs 25 and 26 do not cater for the public sector context where material uncertainties relating to the going concern assumption are different from the private sector. In particular in the context when public sector entities ceased but with their functions continuing elsewhere.	Staff recommend additional outreach be conducted to understand how widespread this issue is prior to making a recommendation as to whether a specific project be undertaken by the Board on this issue.	Consider development of guidance on assessing going concern for public sector entities, where the public sector entity ceases but its function is moved to another body development of guidance on accounting requirements as a potential project when resources become available – no further work on this topic until such time.
B4	AASB 1055 Budgetary Reporting Framework for Government budgets and forward estimates.	A number of stakeholders commented that it is timely to conduct a post-implementation review of AASB 1055 Budgetary Reporting.  Many stakeholders expressed the view that preparing budgetary reporting can be burdensome. Some questioned the value of the information to users and suggested that a costs and benefits analysis should	AASB 1055 is effective from 1 July 2014. Staff note that there is no formal requirement to undertake a PIR of issued Standards.	Consider undertaking a PIR on AASB 1055 as a potential project when resources become available – no further work on this topic until such time.

Ref	Topic	Feedback Received / Issues raised or previously noted by the Board	Staff recommendation (August 2016 AP 8.0)	Staff recommendation (this agenda paper)
		be conducted on preparing and auditing forecast budgets and explanations of major variances.	As a first step in considering this topic, staff recommend	
		Some noted that there has been varied application of requirements and suggested further clarification and guidance is needed to improve the consistency and comparability of financial reporting.	that further outreach be undertaken to assess whether some of the issues raised relate to implementation issues, or to more	
		Some suggested further clarification is needed on the: 1) definition of "major variances; and (2) quality of explanations for "major variances".	fundamental aspects of the Standard.	
		A stakeholder suggested clarification is needed on whether AASB 1055 could also be adopted by government agencies that are not GGS entities.		
		A stakeholder suggested the AASB could consider a project, which is outside the conventional historical financial reporting, that researches the different accounting frameworks used by governments to prepare and report budget information and forward estimates. The government's budgets, forward estimates and final budget outcomes attract more interest from users and stakeholders than historical annual financial reports. In particular, the AASB could develop a framework that includes:		
		(a) references to the qualitative characteristics of key assumptions and bases for prospective financial information;		
		(b) measurement and recognition requirements of the accounting standards;		
		(c) budgets to be prepared using the accounting policies required to account for financial results so that the actual results are directly comparable		

		1		
Ref	Topic	Feedback Received / Issues raised or previously noted by the Board	Staff recommendation (August 2016 AP 8.0)	Staff recommendation (this agenda paper)
B5	Grant expense/ liability	to budget estimates; and  (d) linkage of the reporting budget information and actual outcomes with reporting of service performance information.  As part of the project to develop AASB 1055 the Board noted it could in the future, as a separate project, address budgetary reporting requirements of a broader range of public sector entities.  A number of stakeholders noted that there is a lack of guidance from the grantor's perspective as to when to recognise an expense.	The IPSASB has a current project on its agenda addressing non-exchange expenses, with a Consultation Paper scheduled for publication in December 2016.  Staff recommend this project be considered by the Board as a project the AASB could work closely with the IPSASB on. Staff recommend to bring back a proposal for how the AASB may be able to contribute to the project.	Defer consideration of the Board's contribution to IPSASB-related projects until its strategy day in May 2017.
B6	AASB 7 Financial instruments: Disclosures AASB 9 Financial Instruments	There is generally strong support to reduce and simplify disclosures of financial instruments for not-for-profit entities.  Some stakeholders also recommended guidance be developed for the NFP public sector entities with respect to expected credit losses applicable to financial instruments.	Staff recommend considering the disclosure of not-for-profit entities as part of a broader disclosure framework project proposal.	Consider whether differential disclosures should apply to NFP entities, and whether to develop guidance for NFP public sector entities to assist application of AASB 9 impairment requirements, as potential projects when resources become available – no further work

		From Agenda Paper 8.0 of the August 2016 meeting	1	
Ref	Topic	Feedback Received / Issues raised or previously noted by the Board	Staff recommendation (August 2016 AP 8.0)	Staff recommendation (this agenda paper)
				on this topic until such time.
B7	AASB 138 Intangible assets	A stakeholder noted that they have received external advice to account for rights to receive future maintenance services as intangible assets, such as in circumstances when there is an agreement exists between a private operator and the government which requires the operator bears the cost of maintaining the infrastructure or amenities to an acceptable standard for public use over a specified term. The stakeholder requested the AASB to incorporate stricter guidance in AASB 138 to prevent inappropriate and/or unintended intangible assets being recognised – especially with the increasing focus on right-of-use assets that will inevitably occur under the new AASB 16 Leases.	As noted in B1 above, Staff recommend a project plan be developed in relation to intangible assets. Staff recommend this issue be incorporated into that project plan.	Add project on the accounting for intangible assets of public sector entities onto the Board's active agenda.
B8	Materiality	Some stakeholders expressed the view that the removal of AASB 1031 <i>Materiality</i> has not been helpful. There are also concerns that often there are different views on what constitutes 'material' between preparers and auditors.  A stakeholder suggested that the AASB should clarify the application of the terms "material", "significant", "a minimum" and "major" in disclosure requirements, with these terms sometimes used within the same standards. It was suggested that the AASB may consider including definitions in the AASB Glossary of Defined Terms.	At its October 2013 meeting the Board decided to proceed with the withdrawal of AASB 1031. This decision is consistent with the Board's view that the principle-based guidance on materiality in Australian Accounting Standards (that incorporate IFRSs) and the IASB Conceptual Framework is adequate.  As such, staff recommend not to proceed with the issue.	No further action relating to whether different views on what constitutes 'material' between preparers and auditors exist at this time; staff to monitor and consider whether further action is necessary only where resources become available.
B9	Control of assets	A stakeholder suggested a more comprehensive guidance on determining control over an asset to be	Staff recommend to add this issue to the already existing	Consider feedback as part of the Board's current project on the

		From Agenda Paper 8.0 of the August 2016 meeting	g	
Ref	Topic	Feedback Received / Issues raised or previously noted by the Board	Staff recommendation (August 2016 AP 8.0)	Staff recommendation (this agenda paper)
		included in the Conceptual Framework, particularly the concept of "future economic benefits" i.e. what form can "future economic benefits" take, and how direct/indirect can they be, particularly in a public sector environment.	NFP conceptual framework project.	Conceptual Framework.
B10	Measurement of heritage assets	A stakeholder has expressed the view that the existing requirements are not sufficient to address the valuation of heritage assets. The lack of perspective materials in existing pronouncements is creating inconsistent practices and reduces the comparability of financial reports.	The IPSASB has a current project on its agenda addressing Heritage Assets, with a Consultation Paper scheduled for publication in December 2016.	Defer consideration of the Board's contribution to IPSASB-related projects until its strategy day in May 2017.
			Staff recommend this project be considered by the Board as a project the AASB could work closely with the IPSASB on. Staff recommend to bring back a proposal for how the AASB may be able to contribute to the project.	
			Staff also recommend this issue to be considered as part of research agenda.	
B11	Public sector combinations	A stakeholder thinks that the lack of prescriptive materials in existing pronouncements is creating inconsistent practices and reduces the comparability of financial reports. There was a suggestion that the AASB could leverage off the work being undertaken by the IPSASB as existing Australian pronouncements do not adequately prescribe the accounting treatment of public sector combinations, and in particular, the requirements for machinery of	The IPSASB has a current project on its agenda addressing Public Sector Combinations, with a final Standard scheduled for publication in March 2017.  Staff recommend AASB staff continue to actively monitor and contribute to this project	Defer consideration of the Board's contribution to IPSASB-related projects until its strategy day in May 2017.

	From Agenda Paper 8.0 of the August 2016 meeting			
Ref	Topic	Feedback Received / Issues raised or previously noted by the Board	Staff recommendation (August 2016 AP 8.0)	Staff recommendation (this agenda paper)
		government changes.  As part of the removable of AAS 27 the Board previously agreed to undertake a thorough review of the application of the business combinations Standard to not-for-profit entities, following the development of more detailed criteria for determining the circumstances in which not-for-profit requirements should be included in IFRSs.	with updates provided to the Board as the IPSASB project progresses, with a view to determining the next steps the Board may wish to take once the IPSASB Standard is issued.  Staff recommend a staff paper be developed for the Board to consider at the October / December 2016 which IPSASB projects we can contribute to and follow	
B12	AASB 1050 Administered items	A stakeholder considers that review of the scope of AASB 1050 Administered Items is necessary. There are public sector entities, other than government departments, that manage administered items on behalf of government which are not required to disclose these transactions and balances in their financial reports. In reviewing the scope of this standard, the stakeholder suggested that further guidance on identifying administered items would be beneficial.	closely on IPSASB.  As a first step in considering this topic, staff recommend that further outreach be undertaken to assess whether the issues raised relate to implementation issues, or to more fundamental aspects of the Standard.	Consider a review of the scope of AASB 1050 as a potential project when resources become available – no further work on this topic until such time.
B13	Peppercorn leases	Some stakeholders have suggested more guidance is needed for peppercorn leases.	This issue is addressed as part of the current Income of not-for-profit entities project.	No further action; note, the AASB 1058 education activity may provide clarity on the requirements in this regard.
B14	AASB 124 Related	There is general strong support for a post- implementation review of the AASB 124 and its	Staff recommend no action to be taken at this stage as the	No further specific project to be

		From Agenda Paper 8.0 of the August 2016 meeting	1	
Ref	Topic	Feedback Received / Issues raised or previously noted by the Board	Staff recommendation (August 2016 AP 8.0)	Staff recommendation (this agenda paper)
	Party Disclosures	application to the not-for-profit public sector financial reports due to the concerns that the uncertainty and lack of clarity of the accounting requirements is creating confusion amongst preparers and auditors which may lead to a decline in the quality of financial reporting and increased costs upon implementation. Some also expressed their concerns about the costs of collecting data and preparing such reports may overweigh the benefits.	changes to AASB 124 are only applicable from 1 July 2016. Staff recommend this issue be considered further during 2018-2019.	undertaken at this time.
		A stakeholder suggested that a review within a year or two of the implementation of AASB 124 would identify whether the objectives of the standard have been met, measuring the costs and benefits of compliance, and whether any changes or additional guidance is required.		
		Suggestions for further additional guidance include:		
		<ul> <li>(a) transactions with a related party that would potentially need to be disclosed;</li> <li>(b) definition of related party transactions includes the statements, "regardless of whether a price is charged";</li> <li>(c) transactions within the public sector where there is no price charged; and</li> <li>(d) other circumstances such as changes in laws or zoning which provide a benefit to KMP that are difficult to determine if they are a related party transaction.</li> </ul>		
B15	AASB 1049 Whole of Government and General Government Sector Financial	Some stakeholders noted that AASB 1049 has minimal benefits.  A stakeholder suggested that in light of the recent amendments to the System of National Accounts and	A PIR was undertaken in 2011. Staff recommend a project plan be developed.	Consider a PIR of AASB 1049 as a potential project when resources become available – no further work on this topic until such time.

	From Agenda Paper 8.0 of the August 2016 meeting			
Ref	Topic	Feedback Received / Issues raised or previously noted by the Board	Staff recommendation (August 2016 AP 8.0)	Staff recommendation (this agenda paper)
	Reporting	the Government Finance Statistics Manual, it is timely for a post-implementation review of AASB 1049.		
B16	IPSASB measurement project	Many stakeholders are generally supportive of not adopting IPSASB; however many also expressed the views that the AASB should work closely or monitor IPSASB's work.	Staff recommend a staff paper be developed for the Board to consider at the October / December 2016 which IPSASB projects we can contribute to and follow closely on IPSASB.	Defer consideration of the Board's contribution to IPSASB-related projects until its strategy day in May 2017.
B17	R&D Tax concessions	A stakeholder has inquired whether R&D tax is a government grant or a tax.	As a first step in considering this topic, staff recommend that further outreach be undertaken to assess the extent of any diversity in practice in relation to this issue.	Consider whether R&D tax is a government grant or a tax as a possible project as a potential project when resources become available – no further work on this topic until such time.
B18	Community housing	There are inquiries on how community housing should be accounted for.	As a first step in considering this topic, staff recommend that further outreach be undertaken to assess the extent of any diversity in practice in relation to this issue.	Consider the accounting for community housing as a potential project when resources become available – no further work on this topic until such time.
B19	AASB 1004 Contributions	Some stakeholders have requested the AASB to reconsider the definition of 'contributions by owners' including the continuing need for any definition.	Staff recommend a project plan be developed.	Add project on the accounting for contributions by owners of NFP entities onto the Board's active agenda.
Not-f	or-profit entities other	than public sector entities (NFP)		

Ref	Topic	Feedback Received / Issues raised or previously noted by the Board	Staff recommendation (August 2016 AP 8.0)	Staff recommendation (this agenda paper)
C1	Volunteer services	Some constituents considered that volunteer services should be a specific project of the AASB and not addressed in these current proposed changes (ED 260). Some constituents preferred that information about volunteer services be reported outside the not-for-profit entity's financial statements, for example as part of service performance reporting.	Staff recommend a project plan be developed.	Consider the accounting for volunteer services as a potential project when resources become available – no further work on this topic until such time.
C2	Union financial reporting	At present, the Fair Work (Registered Organisations) Act 2009 (Cth) achieves financial disclosure in various ways. There are requests that the disclosure of financial information to members and the public need to be improved. In particularly, requiring reporting units to prepare consolidated financial statements, as well as separate financial statements of reporting unit's controlled entities. Consideration also be given to repealing s. 148C of the Fair Work (Registered Organisations) Act 2009 (Cth).	Staff recommend considering this issue as part of research agenda.	Consider whether to develop guidance and examples to improve the quality of financial reporting by unions as a potential project when resources become available – no further work on this topic until such time.
C3	Definition of fundraising	A request to the AASB was to develop the definition of fundraising.	Staff recommend a project plan be developed for the Board to consider.	Consider whether to develop proposals with respect to what is fundraising as a potential project when resources become available — no further work on this topic until such time.
C4	NFP sector Standards	Many constituents recommended the AASB consider modifying more IFRS standards to suit the needs of the NFP sector.	Staff recommend a project plan be developed for the Board to undertake a project, including benchmarking to other jurisdictions.	Consider whether to revisit the extent of NFP modification to existing Australian Accounting Standards as a potential project when resources become available – no further work on this topic until such time.

		From Agenda Paper 8.0 of the August 2016 meeting					
Ref	Topic	Feedback Received / Issues raised or previously noted by the Board	Staff recommendation (August 2016 AP 8.0)	Staff recommendation (this agenda paper)			
For-p	For-profit entities						
D1	AASB 1056 Superannuation Entities	In accordance to the Basis for Conclusion, topics include issues around the measurement of liabilities using discounted cash flow techniques and the nature of the reporting entity in a superannuation context are to form the basis of future research by the AASB Research Centre. In addition, the Board concluded that it should reconsider the accounting for the insurance arrangements once the IASB's comprehensive insurance contracts project is completed, but that this should not be a barrier to addressing the matter now.	Staff recommend this issue to be considered as part of research agenda.	<ol> <li>Consider whether to consider the measurement of liabilities using discounted cash flow techniques, and the nature of the reporting entity in a superannuation context, as potential projects when resources become available – no further work on this topic until such time.</li> <li>Staff intend to consider the impact of the forthcoming insurance contracts standard on AASB 1056 as part of the Board's current Insurance Contracts project.</li> </ol>			
D2	AASB 107 Cash flow statements	Some stakeholders questioned the purpose of Aus 20.1 of AASB 107 <i>Cash flow statements</i> requires entities to prepare additional cash flow reconciliation if the direct method is used, when it is not a requirement under IFRS.	Staff are aware that the UK FRC is undertaking a project on reviewing content and structure of Cash flow statements. Staff will monitor the progress of the project and provide input as appropriate. In addition, staff recommend a project plan be developed to undertake a review of <i>Aus</i> disclosures.	Consider whether to review all Australian additional disclosures as a potential project when resources become available – no further work on this topic until such time.			
D3	Voluntary tax transparency code	The Board of Taxation considers that the AASB can play an important role in the effective operation of the Voluntary Tax Transparency Code by:	Staff recommend a project plan be developed. Staff note that if the Board	This project has been added to the Board's active agenda and is currently underway.			

From Agenda Paper 8.0 of the August 2016 meeting				
Ref	Topic	Feedback Received / Issues raised or previously noted by the Board	Staff recommendation (August 2016 AP 8.0)	Staff recommendation (this agenda paper)
		<ul> <li>a) developing certain guidance material to assist business in meeting the standard required by the Code; and</li> <li>b) establishing a common definition of the term 'effective tax rate'.</li> <li>The AASB Chair has flagged that the AASB could possibly explore a project on tax disclosures.</li> </ul>	proceeds with a project, it will need to be given a high priority as any final pronouncement will need to be issued in time for June 2017 reporting.  Staff will monitor the interplay between accounting standards and tax law to improve the alignment of the two. As such, staff will continue and increase the communication and co-ordination with other agencies (Treasury and ATO) early in tax policy development and tax law design process which involve both tax laws and accounting standards.	
D4	Pro-forma information & Performance reporting	Some stakeholders requested the AASB to explore the pro-forma information and performance reporting provided by entities.  A stakeholder suggested that 'a clearer, consistent and more comprehensive set of performance measures should be developed with input from not only the preparers but from a good cross section of investors'.	Staff are aware that a number of academic staff are working on research projects in relation to pro-forma information and performance reporting. Staff will monitor the progress of those research projects and provide input as appropriate.  Staff also recommend this issue to be considered as part of research agenda.	Consider exploring whether the AASB should develop proposals/ guidance with respect to pro-forma information and performance measures as potential projects when resources become available – no further work on this topic until such time.

Ref	Topic	Feedback Received / Issues raised or previously noted by the Board	Staff recommendation (August 2016 AP 8.0)	Staff recommendation (this agenda paper)
D5	Prospective information	Some stakeholders suggested that the AASB should develop standards on information disclosed in Initial Public Offering documents, i.e. prospectus.	Staff recommend this issue to be considered as part of research agenda.	Consider exploring whether the AASB should develop proposals/ guidance with respect to prospective information as a potential project when resources become available – no further work on this topic until such time.
D6	AASB 138 Intangible assets	Some stakeholders think there is a need to reconsider how intangible assets should be reported.  A stakeholder expressed the concerns of the growing gap between market valuations and net book value recognised in financial accounts and considers that there may be value in the IASB reactivating its work on intangible assets as a means to contribute to the conversation on how reporting entities should communicate with report users on intangibles - both booked and unbooked.	Staff are aware that this topic is on the IASB agenda.  Staff recommend discussing with the relevant IASB staff how the AASB can contribute to the IASB project.	No specific further work to be actioned on this topic, but issues may be identified as part of work on the proposed AASB project on intangible assets for raising to the IASB.
D7	Value added statements	A stakeholder suggested that the AASB should provide guidance on value added statements. Value added statements are a financial statement that shows how much wealth has been created by an entity through utilisation of its resources and how is that wealth distributed among various stakeholders.	Staff recommend additional outreach be conducted to understand the usage of value added statements prior to making a recommendation as to whether a specific project be undertaken by the Board on this issue.	Consider exploring whether the AASB should develop proposals/ guidance with respect to value added statements as a potential project when resources become available – no further work on this topic until such time.
D8	Remuneration reporting	There was a general view that the current rules for remuneration reporting are complex and include redundant, overlapping requirements, which give rise to a lengthy compliance report. Some suggested the AASB should undertake a project about the users' needs around remuneration reporting to determine	Staff recommend a project plan be developed, including discussing with relevant stakeholders, such as Treasury, how the AASB can contribute to improving the	Add project on remuneration reporting onto the Board's active agenda.

Ref	Торіс	Feedback Received / Issues raised or previously noted by the Board	Staff recommendation (August 2016 AP 8.0)	Staff recommendation (this agenda paper)
		the reporting framework for remuneration.  Some noted that there is a lack of consistency in remuneration reporting and suggested that guidance on remuneration reporting would be warranted such as guidance on potential alternative measurement principles or disclosures which are commonly used to explain remuneration paid and payable in the future.  Some questioned the relevance of financial information of that long-term incentive and option expensing in AASB 2 Share-based payment.	current remuneration reporting requirements.	
D9	AASB 10 Consolidated Financial Statements  AASB 128 Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures	Some stakeholders requested the AASB to conduct further research on whether to limit the exceptions in AASB 10 and AASB 128 from presenting consolidated financial statements or applying the equity method to entities other than the ultimate Australian entity.  The Board decided to conduct further research before deciding whether to undertake a project to reconsider whether to limit the exceptions in AASB 10 and AASB 128 from presenting consolidated financial statements or applying the equity method to entities other than the ultimate Australian entity (refer to Basis for Conclusions on AASB 2015-4 Amendments to Australian Accounting Standards – Financial Reporting Requirements for Australian Groups with a Foreign Parent).	Staff recommend a project plan be developed.	Consider reviewing the limitation on the exemption from preparing consolidated or equity accounted financial statements as a potential project when resources become available – no further work on this topic until such time.
D10	Cooperative, mutual and member-owned firms	Requests received by the stakeholders that the AASB to closely monitor the progress of the IASB in developing solutions to bring co-operative shares under the definition of capital under AASB 132, and, where possible, facilitate equivalent amendments as	Staff will continue to closely monitor and contribute to the IASB's work on accounting issues that affect cooperatives and incorporate amendments	Consider whether to identify best practice and develop template disclosure solutions to help alleviate concerns of cooperative entities relating to capital/debt classifications

From Agenda Paper 8.0 of the August 2016 meeting				
Ref	Topic	Feedback Received / Issues raised or previously noted by the Board	Staff recommendation (August 2016 AP 8.0)	Staff recommendation (this agenda paper)
		expeditiously as possible.	to IASB Standard.  Staff also recommend a project plan be developed to identify how the Board may be able to work with the industry to help identify best practice and template disclosure solution to their issues around capital/debt classifications.	as a potential project when resources become available – no further work on this topic until such time.