

EUROPEAN COMMISSION

Directorate-General for Financial Stability, Financial Services and Capital Markets Union

INVESTMENT AND COMPANY REPORTING Accounting and financial reporting

CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

FITNESS CHECK ON THE EU FRAMEWORK FOR PUBLIC REPORTING BY COMPANIES

Disclaimer

This document is a working document of the Commission services for consultation and does not prejudge the final decision that the Commission may take.

The views reflected on this consultation paper provide an indication on the approach the Commission services may take but do not constitute a final policy position or a formal proposal by the European Commission.

You are invited to reply by 21 July 2018 at the latest to the online questionnaire available on the following webpage:

http://ec.europa.eu/info/consultations/finance-2018-companies-public-reporting_en

Please note that in order to ensure a fair and transparent consultation process **responses** should be made through the online questionnaire.

This consultation follows the normal rules of the European Commission for public consultations. Responses will be published unless respondents indicate otherwise in the online questionnaire.

Responses authorised for publication will be published on the following webpage: http://ec.europa.eu/info/consultations/finance-2018-companies-public-reporting_en#contributions

Should you have a problem completing this questionnaire or if you require particular assistance, please contact:

fisma-public-reporting-by-companies@ec.europa.eu

CONTENT OF THE CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

This consultation seeks stakeholder views on whether the EU framework for public reporting by companies is fit for purpose.

Considering the size of this public consultation please feel free to respond only to sections or questions of interest to you.

The questionnaire is structured as follows:

- ► Introduction
- ► Assessing the fitness of the EU public reporting framework overall (Section I; Questions 1-7)
- ► The EU financial reporting framework applicable to all companies (Accounting Directive: companies with cross border activities, SMEs, and content of the information) (Section II; Questions 8- 18)
- ► The EU financial reporting framework for listed companies (IAS regulation, Transparency Directive) (Section III; Questions 19- 29)
- ► The EU financial reporting framework for banks and insurance companies (Sectoral Accounting Directives) (Section IV; Questions 30 39)
- ► Non-financial reporting framework (Non-Financial Reporting Directive, Country-by-Country Reporting for extractive and logging industries and integrated reporting) (Section V; Questions 40 56)
- ► The digitalisation challenge (Section VI; Questions 57-66)
- ► Other comments
- ► Acronyms and Abbreviations

Introduction

Public reporting by companies¹ is based on a number of EU Directives, Regulations and Recommendations that were adopted at different points in time over the last 40 years. The current body of EU law (the "acquis") comprises a range of requirements applying to listed and non-listed companies, sector specific requirements (banks and insurers), as well as additional disclosure requirements applicable to listed companies. The initial Directive on annual accounts aimed at harmonising financial information to capital providers and for creditor protection. More recently, public reporting requirements have been expanded to non-financial reporting for a much broader audience.

The Commission is now conducting a comprehensive check of the fitness of the EU framework on public reporting by companies. The objectives of this fitness check are:

- 1) to assess whether the EU public reporting framework is overall still relevant for meeting the intended objectives, adds value at the European level, is effective, internally consistent, coherent with other EU policies, efficient and not unnecessarily burdensome;
- 2) to review specific aspects of the existing legislation as required by EU law²; and
- 3) to assess whether the EU public reporting framework is fit for new challenges (such as sustainability and digitalisation).

Throughout this consultation, certain concepts should be understood as follows:

- o **Effectiveness** whether an intended objective is met;
- Relevance whether a requirement is necessary and appropriate for the intended objectives;
- Efficiency whether the costs associated with the intervention are proportionate to the benefits it has generated;
- Coherence whether requirements are consistent across the board;
- Added value whether the EU level adds more benefits than would have been the case
 if the requirements were only introduced at the national level.

For this consultation "companies" mean limited liability companies of the types listed in the accounting Directive, companies that have issued securities on an EU regulated market, and banks or insurance companies including cooperatives and mutual structures.

² According to legislation, a series of reviews will have to be performed by the Commission:

- A report on the implementation of Non-Financial Reporting Directive 2014/95/EU, addressing its scope, particularly as regards large non-listed undertakings, its effectiveness and the level of guidance and methods provided.

- A report on the situation of micro-undertakings having regard to the number of microcompanies and the reduction of administrative burdens resulting from the simplifications introduced in 2013.
- A report on the implementation and effectiveness of the Country-By-Country Reporting by extractive and logging industries, including examining the case for an extension of the Country-By-Country reporting to other sectors.
- A report on the 2013 Amendments to the Transparency Directive, considering the impact on small and medium-sized issuers and the application of sanctions.

The Commission published an action plan on financing sustainable growth that builds on the recommendations of the High Level Expert Group (HLEG) on sustainable finance. This fitness check on the EU framework for public reporting by companies is one of the actions announced in the Action plan. Several questions in this fitness check, in particular in the section on non-financial reporting, should be considered also in the context of the HLEG recommendations on sustainability.

The replies to this consultation will feed into a Staff Working Document on the fitness of the EU framework for public reporting by companies, to be published in 2019.

I. Assessing the fitness of the EU public reporting framework overall

Depending on its type, activity or situation, a company has a number of public reporting obligations under EU law. The current EU level public reporting framework considered for this consultation consists of the following:

- Publication of individual and consolidated financial statements in accordance with national GAAP (Generally Accepted Accounting Principles) by any limited liability company established in the EU. By virtue of the Accounting Directive 2013/34/EU Member States must ensure that any company in their jurisdiction with a legal form that limits its liability must prepare financial statements and a management report. These shall be audited / checked by a statutory auditor and published in the relevant business register according to national law that is compliant with this Directive. For companies other than a public-interest entity (bank, insurance company or company with securities listed), EU requirements are proportionate to the company's size.
- Publication of consolidated financial statements in accordance with the International Financial Reporting Standard (IFRS)³ adopted by the EU and other specific items by any company established in the EU that has securities (e.g. shares, bonds) listed on an EU regulated market by virtue of the IAS Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002, the Transparency Directive 2004/109/EC and the Market Abuse Regulation (EU) No 596/2014. The use of IFRS makes company accounts comparable within the single market and globally. Companies established in third countries may use their national standards (e.g. US GAAP) if these are accepted on the basis of EU equivalence decisions. The Transparency Directive (2004/109/EC) makes the issuers' activities more transparent, thanks to regular publication of yearly and half-yearly financial reports, as well as the publication of major changes in the holding of voting rights and ad hoc inside information which could affect the price of securities. Issuers have to file such information with the national Officially Appointed Mechanisms (OAMs).
- Publication of individual and consolidated financial statements in accordance with sectoral layouts and principles by any bank or insurance company in the EU by virtue of the Bank Accounting Directive (86/635/EEC) and the Insurance Accounting Directive (91/674/EEC). Unless they prepare IFRS financial statements, any bank or insurance company in the EU must publish financial statements in compliance with national accounting rules that are in line with these sectoral Accounting Directives. Specific sectoral rules provide for, inter alia, layouts (balance sheet and Profit and Loss Account) and accounting treatments for e.g. loans, repurchase agreements or technical provisions.
- <u>Publication of non-financial information</u> by any public-interest entity (bank, insurance company or listed company) with more than 500 employees by virtue of Directive 2014/95/EU. The <u>information</u> should be part of the management report, or published in a separate report. Non-binding guidance was issued in 2017 in order to assist companies Commission Communication C/2017/4234.

_

Previously known as IAS (international accounting standards).

<u>Publication of country-by-country reports on payments to governments</u> by any large company that is active in extraction or logging by virtue of Chapter 10 of Accounting Directive <u>2013/34/EU</u> and Article 6 of Transparency Directive <u>2004/109/EC</u>. This fosters transparency on payments to governments, including third country governments, made in relation to these activities.

The table below provides an overview of the different objectives of the current EU framework mapped to individual legal instruments in the field of public reporting by companies:

	MAIN OBJECTIVES	C	DPERATIONAL OBJECTIVES	EU LEGAL INSTRUMENTS ⁴				
				AD	IAS	TD	BAD	IAD
•	Stakeholder protection	* *	Shareholder protection Creditor protection	X X	Х	Х		
	protection	* *	Depositor protection Policy holder protection	^			х	X
>	Internal market	Fac	cilitate: Cross border investments Cross border establishment	X X	х	Х	X X	X X
>	Integrated EU capital markets	Ma	rket efficiency: Access to capital Capital allocation Integrated securities market	х	X X X	X X X		
>	Financial stability	*	Public confidence in company reporting Trust in the resilience of specific sectors (banking and insurance)	Х	Х	Х	х	х
>	Sustainability	* * * * *	Enhanced corporate responsibilities / accountability/ good corporate governance Empower stakeholders Foster globally sustainable	X X X		x		
		*	activities Foster long term investments Fight corruption	X		х		

Questions

Assessing the fitness of the EU Public Reporting Framework Overall

1. Do you think that the EU public reporting requirements for companies, taken as a whole, have been **effective** in achieving the intended objectives?

1	2	2	Л	_	Don't	
1	2	'n	4	Э	know	

⁴ Accounting Directive (AD); IAS regulation / IFRS (IAS); Transparency Directive (TD); Bank accounts Directive (BAD); Insurance Accounts Directives (IAD)

Ensuring stakeholder protection							
Developing the internal market							
Promoting integrated EU capital markets							
Ensuring financial stability							
Promoting sustainability							
(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree,	agree	and p	artiall	y agre	ee, 4=	mostly	agree,
5=totally agree)							
Please explain your response and substantiate it with e	evider	ice or	concr	ete e	xampl	es.	
Do you think that the EU public reporting requirem	ents:	for co	mnan	ies ta	ıken a	s a whole	a are
relevant (necessary and appropriate) for achieving			•	-		3 a Willow	c, are
	1	2	3	4	5	Don't know	
Ensuring stakeholder protection							
Developing the internal market							
Promoting integrated EU capital markets							
Ensuring financial stability							
Promoting sustainability							
5=totally agree) Please explain your response and substantiate it wit requirement that you think is not relevant.	h evid	dence	or co	oncret	te exa	amples o	f any
Companies would normally maintain and prepare	a lev	el of i	nform	nation	that	is fit for	their
own purposes, in a "business as usual situation". this information up to a more demanding level.							
	1	2	3	4	5	Don't know	
With regards to the objectives pursued, do you think that the EU legislation and standards on public reporting are efficient (i.e. costs are proportionate to the benefits generated)							
(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree) Please explain your response and substantiate it verguirements that you consider most burdensome.			•				

	company, could you please in total operational cost) incurre ory public reporting:							
Total amount in	Amount as a % of							
Euros	total operating							
	costs							
€	%							
Coherence								
possible incoherence d	erson with interest in finan ue to overlaps, repetitio on with the preparation, po	ns, r	edun	dant	item	s, lo	opholes	or
	e intrinsic coherence of the component of that reporting?	•	ublic	repor	ting f	ramev	work is f	ine,
naving regard to edem	somponent or that reporting.	1	2	3	4	5	Don't know	
Financial statements publication)	(preparation, audit and							
check by a statutory aud	er corporate governance							
Non-financial information check and publication)	on (preparation, auditor's							
·			П	П	_			
	porting by extractive /				ΙШ	ΙШ		
logging industries (prepa	•							, 5=
logging industries (prepa (1= totally disagree, 2= most totally agree)	ration, publication)	ee and	l parti	ally ag	gree, 4	= mos	stly agree	, 5=

6. Depending on circumstances, a company may have public reporting obligations on top of those being examined here. Such legislation may have been developed at the EU⁵, national

For example, under the Shareholders' Rights Directive 2007/36/EC, companies must publicly announce material transactions with related parties, establish remuneration policy and draw up a remuneration report for the attention of the shareholders, etc. Under the Directive on Capital Requirements for banks (2013/36/EU, Art. 96) banks must maintain a website explaining how they comply with corporate governance requirements, country by country reporting and remuneration requirements. The Solvency II Directive (2009/138/EC) requires Insurance and reinsurance undertakings to publish their Solvency and Financial Condition Report. A prospectus, regulated by the Prospectus Directive (2003/71/EC) and Regulation ((EU) 2017/1129) is a legal

or regional level. Should you have views on the obligations with the policies examined in this consubstantiate it with evidence or concrete examples	onsulta	•				
EU Added value						
7. Do you think that, for each respective objective, th order to obtain valuable results , compared to unila Member State?			_		•	• •
	1	2	3	4	5	Don't know
Ensuring stakeholder protection						
Developing the internal market						
Promoting integrated EU capital markets						
Ensuring financial stability						
Promoting sustainability						
(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagretotally agree) Please explain your response and substantiate it with e		·	, ,			

document that describes a company's main line of business, its finances and shareholding structure. As regards Market Abuse Directive and Regulation, see specific questions further down.

II. The financial reporting framework applicable to all EU companies

The financial reporting framework for any EU company is broadly shaped by the Accounting Directive. Member States' accounting laws, regulations and standards for the preparation of annual accounts (national GAAP) must incorporate the provisions of the Accounting Directive. The Accounting Directive includes financial statements (balance sheet, profit or loss statement, and notes to the accounts) as well as a management report, depending on the size of the company. Several Member States allow or require the use of IFRS instead of national GAAP for the preparation of annual financial statements. But even when a company prepares financial statements using IFRS, many requirements from the Accounting Directive still apply such as the management report, statutory audit or publication⁶.

Companies operating cross-border

Companies often structure their cross-border business activities within the EU by establishing local entities in a host Member State controlled by a parent established in the home Member State. Together they form a group of controlled entities. Even though a group usually acts and is seen as a single economic entity, EU law does not recognise the legal personality of a group. Nevertheless, EU law addresses certain specific group situations, for instance, by requiring the preparation of consolidated financial statements as if the group were a single entity⁷, structuring bankruptcy⁸ or implementing sectoral regulatory supervision⁹.

When doing cross border business, a group usually faces a variety of business, tax and legal environments. These differences tend to hinder the application of consistent policies and procedures within a group and weaken the comparability of financial statements for users.

Some of these differences arise from options or lacunas in the Accounting Directive or the way in which Member States have complemented the minimum European accounting requirements. For example, the Accounting Directive does not address some economically important transactions such as lease contracts, foreign currency transactions, government grants, cash flows statements, income recognition or deferred taxes. These lacunas are addressed by each Member States in their own way.

More recently the Commission has proposed to harmonise the basis for the taxation of corporate profits for certain groups by ways of a proposal for a Directive on a Common Corporate Tax Base (CCTB) (COM(2016)685 final). It also seeks to organise the free flow of non-personal data by ways of a proposal for a Regulation on a framework for the free flow of non-personal data in the European Union (COM(2017)495), which would legally enable centralised storage and processing of the group's non-personal data by removing unjustified data localisation restrictions within the EU.

7

For further details, see the guidance on Interaction between IFRS reporting and other EU accounting rules available here: https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/international-accounting-standardsregulation-ec-no-1606-2002/implementation/guidance-implementation-and-interpretation-law en

Accounting Directive 2013/34/EU, IAS Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002

⁸ Regulation (EU) 2015/848 on insolvency proceedings

Capital Requirement Directive and Regulation (banks), Solvency Directive (Insurance).

Questions

 In your view, to what extent do the addition of, and differ hinder the ability of companies to do cross border business Differences seriously hinder the ability to do busin Differences hinder to some extent Differences do not hinder the ability to do bus significant Don't know 	with ess v	nin th withi	e EU n the	singl e EU	e ma	irket?
Please explain your response and substantiate it with evidence	or co	oncre	te ex	kamp	les.	
9. To what extent to you think that the following differences, reporting by companies, are significant impediments to cro EU?			•		•	
	1	2	3	4	5	Don't know
Areas covered by EU requirements	1		1	1	1	
Differences and lacunas in accounting standards or						
principles						
Differences in corporate governance standards						
Differences and overlaps arising from the presentation of the financial statements (balance sheet, etc.)						
Differences arising from publication rules / filing with business registers (publication deadlines, publication channels, specifications)						
Differences arising from audit requirements						
Differences arising from dividends distribution rules or capital maintenance rules						
Areas not covered by EU requirements	I	ı		I		
Differences arising from specific bookkeeping requirements such as charts of accounts, audit trail requirements, data storage and accessibility						
Differences arising from language requirements (Bookkeeping documentation, publication of financial statements)						
Differences arising from the determination of taxable profit						
Differences arising from digital filing requirements (for instance taxonomies used)						
Differences arising from software specifications						
Other (please specify)						
vi 1 //	l .	l		ı		1

(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree and partially agree, 4= mostly agree, 5= totally agree)

Please explain your response and substantiate it with eviden-	ce or	concr	ete ex	kamp	ies.	
 10. How do you evaluate the impact of any hindrances to croto public reporting by companies? O The impact of hindrances on costs are negligible O The impact of hindrances on costs are somehow O The impact of hindrances on costs are very signification. O Don't know 	or no signi	ot sigr ficant	nifica		n cost	s relatin
Please explain your response and substantiate it with eviden	ce or	concr	ete ex	kamp	les.	
11. On top of differences in national accounting rules, natio submission of a tax return in compliance with self-standard.					-	-
	1	2	3	4	5	Don' t kno w
Once a Common Corporate Tax Base is adopted at the EU level, would you consider that the profit before tax reported in the Profit or Loss statement and the determination of the taxable profit should be further aligned across EU Member States?						
(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree and totally agree)	d parti	ially a	gree,	4= m	ostly	agree, 5
Please explain your response and substantiate it with eviden	ce or	concr	ete ex	kamp	les.	
12. As regards the <u>preparation of consolidated and individu</u> assess the ability of the following approaches to reduc borders?						-
	1	2	3	4	5	Don't know
The EU should reduce the variability of standards from one Member State to another through more converged national GAAPs, possibly by removing options currently available in the EU accounting legislation						
The EU should reduce the variability of standards from one Member State to another by converging national GAAPs on the basis of a European Conceptual Framework	ı					
The EU should reduce the variability of standards from one Member State to another by converging national GAAPs and in addition by addressing current lacunas in	ı					

the Accounting Directive (leases, deferred taxes, etc.)							
The EU should reduce the variability of standards from							
one Member State to another by establishing a "pan-EU	·						
GAAP" available to any company that belongs to a	П		П		П	п	
group. Such "pan-EU GAAP" may be the IFRS, IFRS for							
SMEs, or another standard commonly agreed at the EU							
level.							
Do nothing (status quo)							
Other (please specify)							
(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree and partially agree)	oartia	lly ag	ree,	4= m	ostly	agree, 5 =	
Please explain your response and substantiate it with evidence	or co	oncre	te ex	amp	les.		
13. As regards the publication of individual financial states (Article 37) allows any Member State to exempt the simplification of their individual financial statements if cert the parent must declare that it guarantees the commitment see a need for the extension of such exemption from a Memory option?	ubsic ain c nts o	liarie ondit f the	s of ions subs	a gr are r idiary	oup net (y). W	from the inter alia, lould you	
O Yes							
O Yes O No							
O Don't know							
O DOIL KNOW							
Please explain your response and substantiate it with evidence or concrete examples.							

SMEs

Since 2016, EU law requires small companies to prepare and publish **only** a balance sheet, a profit or loss statement and a few notes, thanks to the harmonisation agreed at the EU level. Each Member State may fine-tune this regime as regards the level of detail in the balance sheet or profit and loss, and as regards the need for an audit or for a management report. In addition Member State can simplify even further the regime of micro companies and bring it down to only a super simplified balance sheet, a super simplified profit or loss statement and lightweight publication regime. The Member States have used these possibilities to varying extents. The Commission has commissioned a consortium led by the Centre for European Policy Studies (CEPS) to conduct a study on the accounting regime of micro companies with limited liability (FISMA/2017/046/B)). These simplifications are not available to banks, insurance companies or listed companies which are considered as public-interest entities.

Questions

14. Do you agree that the EU approach is striking the right balance between preparers' costs and users' needs, considering the following types of companies?

	1	2	3	4	5	Don't know			
Medium-sized									
Small									
Micro									
(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree and partially agree, 4= mostly agree, 5 = totally agree) Please explain your response and substantiate it with evidence or concrete examples.									
Trease explain your response and se	*D3ta11	tiate it	***********	viaciic	01 00	71101010	zxampi		
15. EU laws usually define size cat according to financial threshold For instance, the metrics of siz (for the financial statements) 2003/361/EC (Commission Recmicro, small and medium-sized programmes). For instance, the the Directive whereas it may no	ds. Yet ze-crite differ omme enter e turno	defineria for from endation prises	itions in those of the those of the those of the	may vactoro-core in to May e supple excee	ary acr mpany he Co 2003 (ort by d €700	in the Ammission concernicertain 0,000 for	oieces of Account n Recount ng the EU bus micro-	of legis ting Di ommen <u>defini</u> iness-s	slation. rective dation tion of upport nies in
				1	2	3	4	5	Don 't kno w
In general, should the EU strive definition and unified metrics to id all the EU policy areas?			_						
In particular, should the EU strive definition metrics in the Account those in Recommendation 2003/36.	ing D 1/EC?	irective	e with						
(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree and partially agree, 4= mostly agree, 5 = totally agree)							ree, 5 =		
Please explain your response and su	ıbstan	tiate it	with e	videnc	e or co	oncrete e	example	es.	

Relevance of the content of financial reporting

A company's financial statement, together with the management report and related documents (corporate governance report, non-financial information) aim to provide a reliable picture of a company's performance and financial position at the reporting date. However, certain users argue that financial statements give only an image of the (recent) past and lack forward-looking information (see for instance Conference Shaping the future of corporate reporting, panel 5 – Matching expectations with propositions, investors' views). The financial statements may also fail to provide a complete picture of the long term value creation, business model, cash flows (non-IFRS financial statements) and internally generated intangible assets (See for instance expert group's report on Intellectual Property Valuation, 2013). There is also only scarce information required at

the EU level on dividend distribution policies and risks (see for instance the <u>UK FRC Lab</u>). The search for other sources of information to remedy this situation may increase costs for users and undermine the level playing field.

Questions

16. How do you think that the current EU framework as regards the content of financial reporting is relevant (necessary and appropriate), having regards to the following information:

	1	2	3	4	5	Don't know	
A company's or group's <u>strategy</u> , <u>business model</u> , <u>value</u> <u>creation</u>							
A company's or group's <u>intangible assets</u> , including goodwill, irrespective of whether these appear on the balance sheet or not							
A company's or group's <u>policies and risks on dividends</u> , including amounts available for distribution							
A company's or group's <u>cash flows</u>							
(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree and partially agree, 4= mostly agree, 5 = totally agree) Please explain, including if in your view additional financial information should be provided: 17. Is there any other information that you would find useful but which is not currently published by companies? O Yes O No O Don't know If you answered yes, please explain what additional information you would find useful:							
Financial statements often contain alternative performance EBITDA.	rman	ce m	neasu	ıres ¹⁰	suc	h as the	,
	1	2	3	4	5	Don't know	
18. Do you think that the EU framework should define and require the disclosure of the most commonly used							

An APM is a financial measure of historical or future financial performance, financial position, or cash flows, other than a financial measure defined or specified in the applicable financial reporting framework.

alternative performance measures?						
(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree ar totally agree)	ıd par	tially a	agree,	4= m	ostly	agree, 5 =
7-07						
Please explain your response and substantiate it with evider	ice or	conc	rete e	xamp	oles.	

III. The EU financial reporting framework for listed companies

The IAS Regulation and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS)

The IAS Regulation adopted in 2005 made the use of IFRS mandatory for the consolidated accounts of listed companies. The Commission Evaluation of the IAS Regulation in 2015¹¹ found that the use of IFRS had led to greater transparency and comparability of financial reporting within the single market, but that complexity had increased. It also concluded that the use of IFRS in the EU has significantly increased the credibility of IFRS and its use worldwide.

However, the current level of commitment to IFRS by third country jurisdictions differs significantly. Very few of the major capital markets and large jurisdictions have made the use of IFRS as issued by the IASB mandatory¹². As a result, the level of global convergence achieved is sub-optimal compared to the initial objective on global use.

Before becoming EU law IFRSs have to be endorsed to ensure that they meet certain technical criteria, are not contrary to the true and fair view principle, and are conducive to the European public good¹³. The current endorsement process prevents the Union from modifying the content of the standards issued by the IASB. Some stakeholders, as mentioned in the final report of the High-Level Expert Group (HLEG)¹⁴, are concerned that this lack of flexibility would prevent the EU from reacting if these standards were to pose an obstacle to broader EU policy goals such as long-term investments and sustainability.

The IASB is addressing the complexity of the standards and the volume of disclosure requirements as part of its "Better Communication" project¹⁵. In addition, the Commission will continue to monitor progress on IASB commitment to improve disclosure, usability and accessibility of IFRS (see the Communication on the Mid-Term Review of the Capital markets Union Action Plan¹⁶). This initiative is one of the actions set in motion by the Commission in order to make it easier for companies to enter and raise capital on public markets, notably on SME Growth Markets¹⁷.

As per the Pocket guide to IFRS standards 2017 published by the IFRS Foundation: Very few of the major capital markets and large jurisdictions require the use of IFRS as issued by the IASB. Some allow the use of IFRS by any listed company, or restrict the option to third country issuers. Many others have transposed IFRS into national GAAP which then become "substantially converged" with IFRS issued by the IASB. Several jurisdictions require IFRS as issued by the IASB albeit often relabelled as national GAAP.

http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=celex:52015DC0301

The IAS Regulation does not define the criterion "European public good". As a result the Commission has so far followed a pragmatic approach that allows identification of key matters of concern on a case by case basis: https://ec.europa.eu/info/system/files/2016-06-27-european-public-good en.pdf

https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/180131-sustainable-finance-report en

http://www.ifrs.org/projects/better-communication/

https://ec.europa.eu/transparency/regdoc/rep/1/2017/EN/COM-2017-292-F1-EN-MAIN-PART-1.PDF

https://ec.europa.eu/info/sites/info/files/2017-barriers-listing-smes-consultation-document en.pdf

Questions

19.	globe, is it still appropriate that the IAS Regulation prevents the Commission from modifying the content of IFRS?
O	Yes
C	No, due to the risk of uneven level playing field for EU companies vis-à-vis companies established in third countries that do not require the use of IFRS as issued by the IASB.
O	No, due to the risk that specific EU needs may not properly be addressed during the IASB standard setting process.
O	No, due to other reasons.
O	Don't know
lf y	ou answered "No, due to other reasons ", please specify.
0	Since the adoption of IFRS by the EU in 2005, topics such as sustainability and long-term investment have come to the forefront of the regulatory agenda. Is the EU endorsement process appropriate to ensure that IFRS do not pose an obstacle to broader EU policy objectives such as sustainability and long-term investments? Yes No Don't know You answered "No", please explain your position:
21.	How could the EU ensure that IFRS do not pose an obstacle to sustainability and long-term investments:
O ciro	By retaining the power to modify the IFRS standards in well-defined cumstances;

	By making explicit in the EU regulatory framework that in order to endorse IFRS are conducive to the European public good, sustainability and long term investment be considered;
O	Other, please specify
O	Don't know
pi ar es fa e. co pe	True and Fair view principle should be understood in the light of the general accounting rinciples set out in the Accounting Directive ¹⁸ . By requiring that, in order to be endorsed, by IFRS should not to be contrary to the true and fair view principle, a link has been stablished between IFRS and the Accounting Directive. However, the principle of true and air view is not laid down in great detail in the Accounting Directive, nor is it underpinned by g. a European Conceptual Framework that would translate these principles into more concrete accounting concepts such as recognition and measurement, measurement of performance, prudence, etc. Do you think that an EU conceptual framework should inderpin the IFRS endorsement process?
O	Yes
O	No
O	Don't know
If you	answered "No", please explain your position:
co in	ne EU has not endorsed the IASB Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting. The onceptual framework is a set of concepts used to develop IFRSs but can also be helpful in terpreting how IFRS standards have to be understood and applied in specific rcumstances. This could enhance a common application of IFRSs within the EU.
Fram	Id the EU endorse the IASB Conceptual ework for Financial Reporting?
-	tally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree and partially agree, 4= mostly agree, 5 = agree)
Please	e explain your response and substantiate it with evidence or concrete examples.
	ontrary to the Accounting Directives the EU endorsed IFRSs do not require companies to resent financial information using a prescribed (minimum) lay-out for the balance sheet

According to the Accounting Regulatory Committee (ARC), its application nonetheless should be guided by the general accounting principles set out in the Accounting Directive (https://ec.europa.eu/info/system/files/2016-06-27-true-and-fair-view en.pdf)

and income statement. Mandatory use of minimum layouts could enhance comparability of human readable financial statements¹⁹.

Do you agree with the following statement?

Prescribed (minimum) layouts enhance comparability of financial statements for users and should therefore be introduced for companies using IFRS.	1	2	3	4	5	Don't know □		
(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree and partially agree, 4= mostly agree, 5 =								
totally agree)								
Please explain your response and substantiate it with evidence or concrete examples.								

Transparency Directive

The Transparency Directive requires issuers of securities traded on regulated markets within the EU to ensure appropriate transparency through a regular flow of information to the markets. The Transparency Directive was last amended in 2013 in order:

- To reduce the administrative burden on smaller issuers and promote long-term investment by abolishing the requirement to publish quarterly financial reports and,
- To strengthen investor protection by improving the efficiency of the disclosure regime of major holdings of voting rights, particularly regarding voting rights held through derivatives.

Questions:

25. Do you agree that the Transparency Directive requirements are **effective** in meeting the following objectives, notably in light of increased integration of EU securities markets?

Objectives	1	2	3	4	5	Don't know
Protect investors						
Contribute to integrated EU capital markets						
Facilitate cross border investments						

(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree and partially agree, 4= mostly agree, 5 = totally agree)

Please explain your response and substantiate it with evidence or concrete examples.

¹⁹ Electronic structured data reporting based on the IFRS taxonomy have an implicit layout as relationships between elements for which amounts shall be presented are defined.

	1	2	3	4	5	Don't know
Reducing administrative burden, notably for SMEs						
Promoting long-term investment (i.e. discouraging the culture of short-termism on financial markets).						
Promoting long-term and sustainable value creation and corporate strategies						
Maintaining an adequate level of transparency in the market and investors' protection						
Please explain your response and substantiate 27. Do you consider that the notifications of major is effective in achieving the following?						urrent fo
27. Do you consider that the notifications of majo is effective in achieving the following?	r holdin		oting ri		their cu	urrent fo
27. Do you consider that the notifications of majo is effective in achieving the following? Strengthening investor protection Preventing possible market abuse situations	r holdin	gs of vo	oting ri	ghts in 4	their cu	Don't
27. Do you consider that the notifications of major is effective in achieving the following? Strengthening investor protection Preventing possible market abuse situations (1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially stotally agree) Please explain your response and substantiat	r holdin	gs of vo	ating right	ghts in 4 D gree, 4:	their cu 5	Don't know agree,
27. Do you consider that the notifications of majo	r holdin 1 D disagree e it wit	gs of vo	ating right	ghts in 4 D gree, 4:	their cu 5	Don' knov agree,

	1			1	1				
Coherent with the shareholders' rights directive									
Coherent with the obligation to disclose managers' transactions under Article 19 of the Market Abuse Regulation ²⁰									
Coherent with other EU legislation – please									
specify									
(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree and partially agree, 4= mostly agree, 5= totally agree)									
Please explain your response and substantiate	it with	evider	ice or e	example	es.				
 29. As regards the following areas, did you identify a lack of coherence of legislation from one Member State to another that could jeopardize to some extent the objectives of investor protection, integrated capital markets and cross-border investment? Yearly and half-yearly financial information On-going information on major holdings of voting rights Ad hoc information disclosed pursuant to the Market Abuse Directive 									
Administrative sanctions and measures Directive requirements	in cas	e of b	reaches	of the	e Trans	parency			
Please explain your response and substantiate	it with	evider	ice or c	oncret	e exam	ples.			
30. Should anything be done to improve public reporting by listed companies (documents, information, frequency, access, harmonisation, simplification)?									

Article 19(3) of MAR sets out the following disclosure obligations: The issuer (...) shall ensure that the information [on transactions carried out by managers or persons closely associated to the managers] is made public promptly and no later than three business days after the transaction in a manner which enables fast access to this information on a non-discriminatory basis

IV. The EU financial reporting framework for banks and insurance companies

Bank Accounts Directive (BAD)

All banks (credit institutions) and groups of banks established in the EU - irrespective of their legal form - have to prepare and publish annual financial statements in order to achieve comparability of financial statements. Member State accounting laws, regulations and standards for the preparation of banks' financial statements must incorporate EU law on bank accounting: the Bank Accounts Directive (BAD) adopted in 1986.

Following the endorsement of IFRS by the EU in 2002 all large banks, accounting for more than 65% of total European banking assets, are obliged to use EU endorsed IFRS for their consolidated financial statements. In addition to the mandatory use of IFRS for the consolidated accounts by listed banks, 15 Member States currently *require* IFRS for the consolidated accounts of non-listed banks and 12 Member States *require* IFRS for the individual accounts of non-listed banks instead of national GAAP²¹.

The use of IFRS has reduced the relevance of the Bank Accounts Directive for achieving harmonised financial statements. The BAD has also lost relevance over time as it has not been updated to include more recent accounting treatments, for example on expected credit losses, (operational) leases or revenues from digital business models.

Harmonising banks' financial statements is not only important for the comparability of banks' financial statements. Bank prudential requirements and capital ratios are based on accounting values. Differences between national GAAPs or between national GAAPs and IFRS lead to different prudential outcomes, which hamper the comparability of capital ratios.

Questions

31. Do you agree with the following statements:

The BAD is still sufficiently effective to meet the objective of comparability	1	2 □	3	4	5	Don't know □
The BAD is still sufficiently relevant (necessary and appropriate) to meet the objective of comparability	1	2 □	3	4	5 🗆	Don't know □
The costs associated with the BAD are still proportionate to the benefits it has generated	1	2	3	4	5 🗆	Don't know □
The current EU legislative public reporting framework for banks is sufficiently coherent	1 □	2 □	3	4	5	Don't know

See for more details the table on page 64 of the Staff Working Document on the evaluation on the IAS Regulation http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52015SC0120&from=EN

(1= totally disagree, 2= totally agree)	mostly disagree, 3= partially	disagre	e and	partia	lly agr	ee, 4=	mostly agree,	5=
Please explain your res	ponse and substantiate it w	ith ev	idence	e or co	ncret	e exam	ples.	
32. Do you agree with	the following statement:							
	uppressed and replaced all EU banks to use IFRS	1	2 □	_ ε	4	5	Don't know □	
(1= totally disagree, 2= i totally agree)	mostly disagree, 3= partially o	l disagre	e and	partial	ly agre	l ee, 4= n] 5 =
Please explain you	r response and substantiate	it wit	h evid	ence c	r exai	mples.		
-	he objective of comparabili Id be improved by including	-					_	
•	Expected Credit risk provis	sionin	3	O Y	es C) No		
•	Leases			O Y	es C) No		
•	Intangible assets			O Y	es C) No		
•	Derivatives			O Y	es C) No		
•	Other, please specify:							
Please explain your res	ponse and substantiate it w	ith ev	idence	e or ex	ample	es.		
·	the following statement:						Double	1
	of options in the BAD mparability of financial	1	2	3	4	5	Don't know	
statements and prud	ential ratios				Ц			
(1= totally disagree, 2= totally agree)	mostly disagree, 3= partially	disagre	e and	partia	lly agr	ee, 4=	mostly agree,	5=
Please explain your res	ponse and substantiate it w	ith ev	idence	e or co	ncrete	e exam	ples.	
35. Do you agree with	the following statements:							
•	national GAAPs for the	1	2	3	4	5	Don't	
preparation of statements of bank s	individual financial subsidiaries reduces the				Ġ		know □	

	•					
efficiency of preparing consolidated financial						
statements						
Allowing the use of IFRS for the preparation						
of individual financial statements by (cross	1	2	3	4	5	Don't
border) banking subsidiaries, subject to			J		, 	know
consolidated supervision, would increase						
efficiency						
(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially of	disagre	e and	partial	ly agre	e, 4= m	nostly agree, 5 =
totally agree)						
Please explain your response and substantiate it w	ith ev	idence	e or co	ncrete	e exam	oles.
36. Do you agree with the following statement:						
Cross border bank subsidiaries of an EU						
parent should be allowed not to publish						
individual financial statements subject to (1)						Don't
being included in the consolidated financial	1	2	3	4	5	
statements of the group, (2) consolidated						know
supervision and (3) the parent guaranteeing						
all liabilities and commitments of the cross						
border subsidiary?						
(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially	disagre	e and	partia	lly agr	ee, 4= r	nostly agree, 5=
totally agree)						
Please explain your response and substantiate it w	ith ev	idence	e or co	ncrete	e examp	oles.

Insurance Accounting Directive (IAD)

The Directive on the annual and consolidated accounts of insurance undertakings was adopted in 1991 in order to set a common European Framework consistent with the Accounting Directive. Where applicable, its scope includes the statutory accounts, which implies a strong interplay with National Legal Frameworks pertaining to insurance contract obligations, dividend distribution, taxation and prudential requirements applicable to small entities outside the scope of the Solvency II Directive.

Unlike in the banking sector where prudential requirements and ratios are based on accounting values, the Solvency II Directive applicable from 2016 includes dedicated measurement principles and public disclosure requirements independent from accounting standards.

IFRS17 "insurance contracts" was issued by the IASB in May 2017 and should apply from 2021 onwards to the consolidated financial statements of listed companies (and to other

companies depending on Member States options). In the context of the European endorsement process of IFRS 17, consultations have highlighted concerns that some provisions of IFRS17 might contradict the Insurance Accounting Directive and that the interaction between IFRS 17 and Solvency II public disclosure requirements may duplicate information.

Overall depending on Member States' use of options, the European accounting and prudential framework requires listed insurance groups to prepare multiple sets of financial statements²². This possibility of overlaps between the various pieces of legislation potentially affects their relevance, efficiency and consistency.

Questions

37. Do you agree with the following statements:

statutory and consolidated accounts

	1	2	. 3	3 4	, !	Dor 't kno	
The Insurance Accounting Directive meets the objective of comparable financial statements within the European insurance industry (the Insurance Accounting Directive is effective)	s _] [] [] [
The Insurance Accounting Directive is still sufficiently relevant (necessary and appropriate) to meet the objective of comparable financial statements	i r	ı] [3 0] [
The costs associated with the Insurance Accounting Directive are still proportionate to the benefits it has generated (the Insurance Accounting Directive is efficient)	, _	I [) [] [] [
(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree) Please explain your response and substantiate it wi	_			-			ree, 5 =
38. Do you agree with the following statements?							
	1	2	3	4	5	Don 't kno w	
There are contradicting requirements between the IAD and IFRS17 which prevent Member States from electing IFRS17 for							

²² Statutory accounts as per National GAAPs, Solvency and Financial Condition Report under the Solvency II Directive and IFRS financial statements for consolidation purpose

The Incurance Accounting Directive chould							
The Insurance Accounting Directive should be harmonized with the Solvency II Framework							
The Insurance Accounting Directive should be harmonized with the IFRS 17 Standard							
Preparers should be allowed to elect for a European-wide option to apply Solvency II valuation principles in their financial statements							
(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree and partially agree, 4= mostly agree, 5 = totally agree)							
Please explain your response and substantiate	it wit	h evi	dence	or ex	ample	s.	
39. Do you think that the current prudential publ disclosure requirements applicable to ins consistent with each other?			•			_	•
						Don 't	
	1	2	3	4	5	kno w	
For European insurance and reinsurance							
companies under the scope of the mandatory application of IFRS according to the IAS regulation							
mandatory application of IFRS according to							
mandatory application of IFRS according to the IAS regulation For European insurance and reinsurance companies required to apply IFRS according							
mandatory application of IFRS according to the IAS regulation For European insurance and reinsurance companies required to apply IFRS according to Member States options For European insurance and reinsurance companies not required to apply the IFRS					_ 		ree, 5 =
mandatory application of IFRS according to the IAS regulation For European insurance and reinsurance companies required to apply IFRS according to Member States options For European insurance and reinsurance companies not required to apply the IFRS Standards (1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially of the IFRS standards)	lisagre	□ □ □ e and	□ □ □ partiall	□ □ uy agree			ree, 5 =
mandatory application of IFRS according to the IAS regulation For European insurance and reinsurance companies required to apply IFRS according to Member States options For European insurance and reinsurance companies not required to apply the IFRS Standards (1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially of totally agree)	lisagre	□ □ □ e and	□ □ □ partiall	□ □ uy agree			ree, 5 =

V. Non-financial reporting framework

Non-Financial Reporting Directive

Directive 2014/95/EU on disclosure of non-financial Information and diversity information (the NFI Directive) requires around 6.000 large companies with more than 500 employees listed on EU regulated markets or operating in the banking or insurance sectors to disclose relevant environmental and social information in their management report. The directive also requires the large listed companies to make a statement about their diversity policy in relation to the composition of their boards. The first reports have to be published in 2018 regarding financial year 2017. In addition to the NFI Directive, the Commission adopted guidelines in June 2017 to help companies disclose relevant non-financial information in a consistent and more comparable manner. The Commission is required to submit a review report on the effectiveness of the Directive by December 2018.

Questions

40.	. The impact assessment for the NFI Directive identified the quality and quantity of non-
	financial information disclosed by companies as relevant issues, and pointed at the
	insufficient diversity of boards leading to insufficient challenging of senior management decisions. Do you think that these issues are still relevant ?

	1	2	3	4	5	Don't know
The quality and quantity of non-financial information disclosed by companies remain relevant issues.						
The diversity of boards, and boards' willingness and ability to challenge to senior management decisions, remain relevant issues.						
(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree totally agree)	e and	partia	lly agre	ee, 4=	mostly	agree, 5=
Please explain your response and substantiate it with ev	idence	e or co	ncrete	e exam	nples.	

41. Do you think that the NFI Directive's disclosure framework is **effective** in achieving the following objectives?

	1	2	3	4	5	Don't know
Enhancing companies' performance through better assessment and greater integration of non-financial risks and opportunities into their business strategies and operations.						
Enhancing companies' accountability, for example with						

respect to the social and environmental impact of operations.	of the	eir					
Enhancing the efficiency of capital markets by	helpir	าย					
investors to integrate material non-financial infor	•	_					
into their investment decisions.							
Increasing diversity on companies' boards and cou	ınterir	ng ,	_				
insufficient challenge to senior management decision		ا					
Improving the gender balance of company boards		[
(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree	and p	artially	/ agre	e, 4=	mostl	y agree	., 5 =
totally agree)							
Please explain your response and substantiate it with evidence or concrete examples.							
42. Do you think that the NFI Directive's current disclosi non-financial information that is:	ure fra	mewo	ork is	effec	tive i	n prov	iding
	1	2	3	4		5 .	on't now
Material) E	1	п
l Balanced						_	
Balanced Accurate			$+ \equiv$	Т	1 Г	1	П
Accurate							
Accurate Timely] []	
Accurate Timely Comparable between companies] []	
Accurate Timely] []	
Accurate Timely Comparable between companies Comparable over time] []	
Accurate Timely Comparable between companies Comparable over time (1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree)	and p	□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	С С е, 4=] [] [] [mostl]] g agree	
Accurate Timely Comparable between companies Comparable over time (1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree totally agree)	and p	□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	С С е, 4=] [] [] [mostl]] g agree	
Accurate Timely Comparable between companies Comparable over time (1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree totally agree)	and p	□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	С С е, 4=] [] [] [mostl]] gy agree	
Accurate Timely Comparable between companies Comparable over time (1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree totally agree)	and p	□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	С С е, 4=] [] [] [mostl]] gy agree	
Accurate Timely Comparable between companies Comparable over time (1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree totally agree)	and p	□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	С С е, 4=] [] [] [mostl]] gy agree	
Accurate Timely Comparable between companies Comparable over time (1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree totally agree) Please explain your response and substantiate it with evice	and p	□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	С С е, 4=	mostl	g agree	
Accurate Timely Comparable between companies Comparable over time (1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree totally agree) Please explain your response and substantiate it with evidence an	and p	artially	□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	С С е, 4=	mostl]	
Accurate Timely Comparable between companies Comparable over time (1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree totally agree) Please explain your response and substantiate it with evice 43. Do you agree with the following statement? The current EU non-financial reporting framework is sufficiently coherent (consistent across the different EU and	and p	artially	green agreement of the second	Ee, 4=	mostl	g agree	
Accurate Timely Comparable between companies Comparable over time (1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree totally agree) Please explain your response and substantiate it with evidence and substantiate it with eviden	and p	artially or cor	y agree	e, 4=	mostl	Don't	
Accurate Timely Comparable between companies Comparable over time (1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree totally agree) Please explain your response and substantiate it with evice 43. Do you agree with the following statement? The current EU non-financial reporting framework is sufficiently coherent (consistent across the different EU and	and p	artially or cor	y agree	e, 4=	mostl	Don't	
Accurate Timely Comparable between companies Comparable over time (1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree totally agree) Please explain your response and substantiate it with evice 43. Do you agree with the following statement? The current EU non-financial reporting framework is sufficiently coherent (consistent across the different EU and national requirements)? (1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree)	and p	artially or cor	y agree	5	mostl mples.	Don't know	
Timely Comparable between companies Comparable over time (1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree totally agree) Please explain your response and substantiate it with evidence of the current EU non-financial reporting framework is sufficiently coherent (consistent across the different EU and national requirements)? (1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree totally agree)	and p	artially or cor	y agree	5	mostl mples.	Don't know	

	1	2	3	4	5	Don' t kno w
The costs of disclosure under the NFI Directive disclosure framework are proportionate to the benefits it generates						
(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree and totally agree) Please explain your response and substantiate it with evide					-	e, 5 =
45. Do you agree with the following statement?						Don'
	1	2	3	4	5	t kno w
The scope of application of the NFI Directive (i.e. limited to large public interest entities ²³) is appropriate						
(1= Far too narrow, 2= Too narrow, 3= about right, 4= too broad, 5	5 = wa	y too k	road)	I	ı	
Please explain your response and substantiate it with evide	ence o	r cond	crete	examp	oles.	
46. It has been argued that the NFI Directive could indirect SMEs, as a result of larger companies requiring addit their suppliers.	-			-	_	
	1	2	3	4	5	t kno w
Do you agree that SMEs are required to collect and report substantially more data to larger companies as a result of the NFI directive?						
(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree a totally agree)	and pa	artially	agree	e, 4= r	nostly	agree, 5=
Please explain your response and substantiate it with	evide	nce c	r con	crete	exar	nples.

[&]quot;Public-interest entities" means listed companies, banks, insurance companies and companies designated by Member States as public-interest entities.

47. Do you agree with the following stateme	nt?								
		1	2	3	4	5	Do t kn	0	
The non-binding Guidelines on Non-Financia issued by the Commission in 2017 help to imquality of disclosure	-]	
(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= part totally agree)	tially disagree a	nd pa	rtially	agree	, 4= ı	mostly	agree	2, 5 =	
Please explain your response and substantiat	e it with evide	nce o	r cond	crete	exam	ıples.			
the disclosure of climate related informations. The action plan also states that the guide on other sustainability factors. Which o amended guidance as a priority?	elines will be fu	ırther	amei	nded	regar	ding			
							sidere	d for	
			1		2	3			
Environment (in addition to climate included in the Action Plan)	change al	ready					sidere	d for	
•	change al		,		2	3	4	5	
included in the Action Plan) Social and Employee matters Respect for human rights	change al		y _] [2	3	4	5 🗆	
included in the Action Plan) Social and Employee matters Respect for human rights Anti-corruption and bribery		ready	y		2	3 	4	5	
included in the Action Plan) Social and Employee matters Respect for human rights		ready	y		2	3	4	5	
included in the Action Plan) Social and Employee matters Respect for human rights Anti-corruption and bribery (1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= part totally agree) 49. If you are a preparer company, could you with national laws on non-financial discleded adoption of the NFI Directive in 2014,	tially disagree and the please estimates on the compared to a	ready nd pa ate the	y C] [] [] agree	2	3	4 agree compli	5	
included in the Action Plan) Social and Employee matters Respect for human rights Anti-corruption and bribery (1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= part totally agree) 49. If you are a preparer company, could you with national laws on non-financial disclarations.	tially disagree and the please estimates on the compared to a	nd pa ate the ado	y C		d cos	3	4 agree compli	5	
included in the Action Plan) Social and Employee matters Respect for human rights Anti-corruption and bribery (1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= part totally agree) 49. If you are a preparer company, could you with national laws on non-financial discleded adoption of the NFI Directive in 2014,	u please estimates to a compared to a compared to a contractive?	nd pa	y C	generated and the second and the sec	d cosmende	3	4 Gragner agree	s, 5 =	

Estimated recurring costs

competitiv	d you assess, overall, the impact of the NFI I reness of the reporting EU companies comp							
and region	s of the world? Very positive impact on competitiveness							
0	Somewhat positive impact on competitive	2000						
0	No significant impact on competitiveness	1033						
0	Somewhat negative impact on competitive	nacc						
0	Very negative impact on competitiveness	11633						
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
O	Don't know							
Please explain	your response and substantiate it with evide	ence o	r con	crete	examı	oles.		
Country-by-c	ountry reporting by extractive and loggi	ng in	dustr	ies				
primary fore governments directives, su a so-called "creporting requanded their business into implement the Questions: 51. Do you thi	companies that are active in the extractests have to be more transparent of a chrough amendments made in 2013 to the companies established in the Europe country-by-country report" summarising uirements were introduced to help gove a resources as well as to enable civil socie account. This should also help government extractive Industries Transparency Initial or the public reporting requirements on a reporting") by extractive and logging industing the extractive industries.	n the an Ur payn rnme ety to ents of ative	e pa Accounion s nents nts of bette of res (EITI)	ymer unting hould to g f reso er hole source prine	ets the stand of the stands of	ney i Tran: lish e iment rich d ernm h cou	make to sparence ach years. These countries an intries to the country and the	o cy ar se es d co
		1	2	3	4	5	t kno w	
effective (succ	essful in achieving its objectives)							
efficient (costs	s are proportionate to the benefits it has							
generated)								
relevant (nece	essary and appropriate)							
<u>coherent</u> (witl	n other EU requirements)							
Designed at th	ne <u>appropriate level</u> (EU level) in order to							Ì
add the highes	t value (as compared to actions at Member		П			П	П	
State level)								

(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= patotally agree)	rtially disagree a	and part	ially	agree,	4= m	ostly	agree, 5 =
Please explain your response and subst	antiate it with	evider	ice c	or cor	ncrete	e exai	mples.
52. As a preparer company, could you ple relation to total operating costs) incurr of the "country-by-country report":					-		
	Total amo	ount in		An	nount	t as a	% of
	Euro	S		tota	l ope	rating	costs
One-off costs of reporting for the first time							
Estimated recurring costs							
Estimated recurring costs							
53. How would you assess, overall, the competitiveness of the reporting EU competitive impact on compe	mpanies?	ountry-l	by-co	ountry	/ rep	orting	on the
O Somewhat positive impact on co	mpetitiveness						
O No significant impact on compet	itiveness						
O Somewhat negative impact on co	ompetitivenes	S					
O Very negative impact on compet	itiveness						
O Don't know							
Please explain your response and substa	antiate it with	eviden	ce o	r con	crete	exan	nples.
Integrated reporting							
In addition to a demand to broaden the reports, there is an ongoing debate or financial, and other related reports in a	n whether and	d how					-
Questions							
54. Do you agree that integrated reporting	can deliver the	followin	ng be	enefit	s ?	,	
							Don'
		1	2	3	4	5	t kno

More efficient allocation of capital, through improved quality of information to capital providers						
Improved decision-making and better risk management in companies as a result of integrated thinking and better understanding of the value-creation process						
Costs savings for preparers						
Cost savings for users						
Other, please specify						
(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree totally agree) Please explain your response and substantiate it with	·					
55. Do you agree with the following statement?						
						Don'
	1	2	3	4	5	t
	1	2	3	-		kno w
A move towards more integrated reporting in the EU should be encouraged						
						w
should be encouraged The costs of a more integrated reporting would be proportionate to the benefits it generates (would be efficient) (1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree						»
The costs of a more integrated reporting would be proportionate to the benefits it generates (would be efficient)	□ □ and pa	rtially	agree	□ □ , 4= m	□ □	w
should be encouraged The costs of a more integrated reporting would be proportionate to the benefits it generates (would be efficient) (1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree totally agree)	□ □ and pa	rtially	agree	□ □ , 4= m	□ □	w
should be encouraged The costs of a more integrated reporting would be proportionate to the benefits it generates (would be efficient) (1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree totally agree)	□ □ and pa	rtially	agree	□ □ , 4= m	□ □	w
should be encouraged The costs of a more integrated reporting would be proportionate to the benefits it generates (would be efficient) (1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree totally agree)	and pa	rtially ence of	agree	□ , 4= m	ostly a	w agree, 5 =
The costs of a more integrated reporting would be proportionate to the benefits it generates (would be efficient) (1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree totally agree) Please explain your response and substantiate it with	and pa	rtially ence of	agree	□ , 4= m	ostly a	w agree, 5 =

O	Don't know
•	answered "Yes", please clarify your response and substantiate it with evidence or ete examples.
Please	e explain your response and substantiate it with evidence or concrete examples.

VI. The digitalisation challenge

In the area of public reporting by companies technology is changing 1) the way companies prepare and disseminate corporate reports and 2) the way investors and the public access and analyse company information. On 6 October 2017, the 'eGovernment Declaration' was signed in Tallin in the framework of the eGovernment Ministerial Conference. It marked a clear political commitment at EU level towards ensuring high quality, user-centric digital public services for citizens and seamless cross-border public services for businesses²⁴.

Digitalisation is soon to become reality for issuers with securities listed on European regulated markets ("listed companies"). These companies must file their Annual Financial Reports with the relevant Officially Appointed Mechanisms (OAMs). An Annual Financial Report mainly contains the audited financial statements, the management report and some other statements. In 2013, the Transparency Directive was amended to introduce as from 1 January 2020 a structured electronic reporting for Annual Financial Reports based on a so-called "European Single Electronic Format" (ESEF). It also established a single European Electronic Access Point (EEAP) in order to interconnect the different national OAMs. The objectives were to facilitate the filing of information by listed companies, and facilitate access to and use of company information by users on a pan-EU basis, thus reducing operational costs for both parties.

Beyond listed companies, the Commission is currently working, as announced in the 2017 Commission Work Programme, on an EU Company Law package making the best of digital solutions and providing efficient rules for cross-border operations whilst respecting national social and labour law prerogatives, which is not subject to this public consultation.

Questions

57.	Do you consider the existing EU legislation to be an obstacle to the development and free use by companies of digital technologies in the field of public reporting?
O	Yes
O	No
O	Don't know
•	ou answered "yes", please explain your response and substantiate it with evidence or nerete examples
го	Do you consider that increased digitalization taking place in the field diminishes the

^{58.} Do you consider that increased digitalisation taking place in the field diminishes the relevance of the EU laws on public reporting by companies (for instance, by making paper based formats or certain provisions contained in the law irrelevant)?

The 'Tallinn Declaration':

https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/news/ministerial-declaration-egovernment-tallinn-declaration

O	Yes						
•	No						
O	Don't know						
=	answered "yes", please explain your response a ete examples	nd su	bstan	tiate	it witl	h evid	ence or
59. Do	npact of electronic structured reporting you think that, as regards public reporting by lis uctured reporting based on a defined taxonomy (Ell meet the following intended objectives:		•				
		1	2	3	4	5	Don' t kno w
Improv	ve transparency for investors and the public						
Improv	ve the relevance of company reporting						
Reduce	e preparation and filing costs for companies						
Reduce	e costs of access for investors and the public						
compa for oth	e other reporting costs through the re-use of nies' public reporting of electronic structured data er reporting purposes (e.g. tax authorities, al statistics, other public authorities)						
(1= totally a	ally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree agree)	and pa	rtially	agree,	4= m	ostly a	gree, 5 =
	e provide an estimated order of magnitude or or citions (e.g. % of preparation costs or % of costs or	-					
	your opinion, on top of the financial statemen cuments prepared by listed companies should conta		•				_
		1	2	3	4	5	Don't know
<u>Financi</u>	al reporting						

Half-yearly interim financial statements						
Management report						
Corporate governance statement						
Other disclosure or statements requirements under the						
Transparency Directive such as information about major						
holdings						
Non-financial reporting and other reports						
Non-financial information						
Country-by-country report on payments to governments						
Other, please specify:						
 (1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree totally agree) 61. Once the ESEF is fully developed and in place for liste add value as a basis to structure the financial strubulished by any limited liability company in the EU? Yes No Don't know Please explain your response and substantiate it with evidence totally agree. 	d com ateme	npanie ents, i	es, wo manag	uld th gemer	is EU t rep	language
62. As regards the non-financial information that listed co companies must publish, do you think that digitalisatio about the following benefits? Facilitate access to information by users Increase the granularity of information disclosed	1	2 <u></u>	3	4	5	Don' t kno w
- '						
Reduce the reporting costs of preparers						

(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree and partially agree, 4= mostly agree, 5 = totally agree)

Please explain your response and substantiate it with evidence or concrete examples.

63.	Digitalisation facilitates the widespread dissemination and Besides, the same corporate reporting information may be ava					
	such as a company's web site, an OAM, a business register, sources. In a digitalised economy, do you consider that elesecured by the reporting company with electronic signatures, trust services?	a data ctronic	a aggre	egator rting s	or ot hould	her be
O	Yes					
O	No					
O	Don't know					
Ple	ase explain your response and substantiate it with evidence or c	oncrete	e exam	ples.		
Da	ta storage mechanisms – data repositories					
	day, the self-standing national databases maintained by echanisms (OAMs) are not interconnected to each other, or				•	ted
	e European Financial Transparency Gateway (EFTG) ²⁵ is a p	=	-		-	
	opean Parliament that aims to virtually connect the datal ger technology in order to provide a single European po		_			
sea	rrching for investment opportunities on a pan-EU basis	. The	Euro	oean	Finand	cial
	nsparency Gateway could be used as a basis for ach ctronic Access Point (EEAP).	ieving	a sır	igie E	urope	ean
64.	Considering the modern technologies at hand to interconne filed by listed companies with the OAMs, do you agree with the					ion
		1	2	3	4	5
	pan-EU digital access to databases based on modern hnologies would improve investor protection					
	pan-EU digital access to databases based on modern hnologies would promote cross border investments and					

(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree and partially agree, 4= mostly agree, 5 = totally agree)

The EU should take advantage of a pan-EU digital access to make

efficient capital markets

information available for free to any user

²⁵

	companies could potentially be re-used for different purposes instance, by filing a report once with an OAMs and re-using business register. In your opinion, should the EU foster the re-u once" principle?	it for t	filing p	urpos	es wit	h a	
0	Yes						
0	No						
0	Don't know						
Ple	ase explain your response and substantiate it with evidence or co	oncrete	exam	ples.			
On rep lau	herence with other Commission initiatives in the field of d 1 December 2017, the Commission launched a Fitness porting frameworks ²⁶ . In parallel, the financial data standard unched in 2016, aims for a 'common financial data langual pervisory purposes. The Commission will report by summer	Check dardis age'a	on thation	ne sur (FDS)	proje	ect,	
		1	2	3	4	5	Don't know
66.	Should the EU strive to ensure that labels and concepts						

65. Public reporting data in the form of structured electronic data submitted by listed

(1= totally disagree, 2= mostly disagree, 3= partially disagree and partially agree, 4= mostly agree, 5= totally agree)

Other comments

67. Do you have any other comments or suggestions?

66. Should the EU strive to ensure that labels and concepts contained in public reporting by companies are standardised

and aligned with those used for supervisory purposes?

²⁶ https://ec.europa.eu/info/consultations/finance-2017-supervisory-reporting-requirements_en

For more details, see Commission report on the Follow up to the Call for Evidence - EU regulatory framework for financial services, December 2017 section 3.3

Acronyms and Abbreviations

AD	Accounting Directive
BAD	Bank Accounts Directive
CEP	Centre for European Studies
CBCR	Country by Country Reporting
CLD	Company Law Directive
CMD	Capital Maintenance Directive
CMU	Capital Markets Union
CRD	Capital Requirements Directive
CRR	Capital Requirements Regulation
DG FISMA	Directorate General Financial Stability, Financial Services and Capital Markets Union
DLT& API	Distributed Ledger Technology & Application Programme Interface
EC	European Commission
EFRAG	European Financial Reporting Advisory Group
EFTG	European Financial Transparency Gateway
EITI	Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative
ESG	Environmental, Social & Governance factors
ESMA	European Securities and Markets Authority
ESRB	European Systemic Risk Board
FSB	Financial Stability Board
GAAPs	General Accepted Accounting Principles
HLEG	High-Level Expert Group
IAD	Insurance Accounts Directive
IAS	International Accounting Standards
IASB	International Accounting Standards Board
IFRS	International Financial Reporting Standards
IFRS 4	International Financial Reporting Standards on Insurance contracts
IFRS 9	International Financial Reporting Standards on Financial Instruments
IFRS 17	will replace IFRS 4 as of 1 January 2021
IIRC	International Integrated Reporting Council
KPIs	Key Performance Indicators
NFR	Non-Financial Reporting Directive (also called NFI for Non-Financial Information)
NGOs	Non-Governmental Organisation
OAMs	Officially Appointed Mechanisms
OECD	Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development
PIE	Public Interest Entities
P&L	Profit and Loss account
SMEs	Small and Medium Enterprises
SRB	Single Resolution Board
SSM	Single Supervisory Mechanism
TCFD	Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures
TD	Transparency Directive