

Mr Kevin Stevenson Chairman Australian Accounting Standards Board PO Box 204, Collins Street WEST VICTORIA 8007

By Email: standard@aasb.gov.au

Level 17, 383 Kent Street Sydney NSW 2000 Locked Bag Q800 QVB Post Office Sydney NSW 1230 T +61 2 8297 2400 F +61 2 9299 4445 E info.nsw@au.gt.com W www.grantthornton.com.au

19 November 2012

Dear Kevin

ED 226 Withdrawal of Australian Interpretation 1039 Substantive Enactment of Major Tax Bills in Australia

Grant Thornton Australia Limited (Grant Thornton) is pleased to provide the Australian Accounting Standards Board (AASB) with its comments on ED 226 Withdrawal of Australian Interpretation 1039 Substantive Enactment of Major Tax Bills in Australia.

Grant Thornton's response reflects our position as auditors and business advisers to the Australian business community. We work with listed and privately held companies, government, industry, and not-for-profit organisations (NFPs). This submission has benefited with input from our clients, and discussions with key constituents.

We support the withdrawal of Australian Interpretation 1039 Substantive Enactment of Major Tax Bills in Australia. Our responses to the questions in ED 226 are set out below.

Question 1

Whether you agree with:

- a the withdrawal of Australian Interpretation 1039 Substantive Enactment of Major Tax Bills in Australia from 1 July 2013; and
- b the AASB's basis for withdrawing Australian Interpretation 1039?

We support the withdrawal of Australian Interpretation 1039 on the basis that an Australian Interpretation is not necessary as this issue is not unique to Australia.

Grant Thornton Australia Limited ABN 41 127 556 389

Grant Thornton Australia Limited is a member firm within Grant Thornton International Ltd. Grant Thornton International Ltd and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Grant Thornton Australia Limited, together with its subsidiaries and related entities, delivers its services independently in Australia.



Question 2

Unless already provided in response to specific matters for comment 1(a) and 1(b) above, the costs and benefits of the proposals relative to the current requirements, whether quantitative (financial or non-financial) or qualitative.

We do not see any cost involved in this proposal, and believe that it is a benefit for international harmonisation.

If you require any further information or comment, please contact me.

Yours sincerely GRANT THORNTON AUSTRALIA LIMITED

Keith Reilly National Head of Professional Standards