International Financial Reporting Standard

Definition of Accounting Estimates

February 2021

BASIS FOR CONCLUSIONS – AMENDMENTS

[IAS 8]

[Related to AASB 2021-2]

Amendments to the Basis for Conclusions on IAS 8 *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*

This Basis for Conclusions accompanies, but is not part of, IAS 8.

Paragraph BC1 is amended with new text underlined. Paragraphs BC42–BC59 and related headings are added. For ease of reading, paragraphs BC42–BC59 and the related headings have not been underlined.

Introduction

BC1 This Basis for Conclusions summarises the International Accounting Standards Board's considerations in reaching its conclusions on revising IAS 8 *Net Profit or Loss for the Period, Fundamental Errors and Changes in Accounting Policies* in 2003 and on subsequent amendments. Individual Board members gave greater weight to some factors than to others.

…

Definition of Accounting Estimates (2021 amendments)

Background

BC42 The IFRS Interpretations Committee informed the Board of difficulties entities faced in distinguishing changes in accounting policies from changes in accounting estimates. The Board understood that such difficulties arose because the previous definition of a change in accounting estimate in IAS 8 was not sufficiently clear.

BC43 In February 2021, the Board issued *Definition of Accounting Estimates*, which amended IAS 8. The amendments introduced the definition of accounting estimates in paragraph 5 and included other amendments to IAS 8 to help entities distinguish changes in accounting estimates from changes in accounting policies.

Definition of accounting estimates

BC44 Before the 2021 amendments, IAS 8 included definitions of 'accounting policies' and 'change in accounting estimate'. The combination of a definition of one item (accounting policies) with a definition of changes in another item (change in accounting estimate) obscured the distinction between accounting policies and accounting estimates. To make that distinction clearer, the Board replaced the definition of a change in accounting estimate with a definition of accounting estimates. The main matters the Board considered in developing the definition and related requirements included:

(a) *the relationship between accounting policies and accounting estimates*—the amendments clarify the relationship between accounting policies and accounting estimates by specifying that an entity develops an accounting estimate to achieve the objective set out by an accounting policy. The Board's view was that this clarification would help entities distinguish changes in accounting estimates from changes in accounting policies.

(b) *judgements and assumptions*—when it exposed a draft of the 2021 amendments for comment, the Board proposed defining accounting estimates as judgements and assumptions used in applying accounting policies when an item cannot be measured with precision. However, the Board agreed with feedback suggesting it would be more helpful to specify that accounting estimates are the output of measurement techniques that require an entity to use judgements or assumptions and that the judgements or assumptions are not accounting estimates themselves. This approach also avoids confusion about whether other judgements and assumptions an entity makes in preparing its financial statements are accounting estimates.

(c) *measurement uncertainty*—the Board introduced the term 'measurement uncertainty' in the definition. The Board concluded that using this term would make the definition clearer and be consistent with the 2018 *Conceptual Framework*.[1]

(d) *monetary amounts*—the definition refers to monetary amounts for consistency with the definition of measurement uncertainty.[2] The Board considered whether the definition should also refer to non-monetary amounts (for example, the useful life of depreciable assets). However, the Board observed that entities use non-monetary amounts as inputs to estimate monetary amounts in the financial statements—for example, an entity uses the useful life of an asset (a non-monetary amount) as an input in estimating the depreciation expense for that asset (a monetary amount). Because the effects of changes in inputs used to develop an accounting estimate are changes in accounting estimates (see paragraph BC46), the Board concluded that it was unnecessary to also include non-monetary amounts in the definition of accounting estimates.

(e) *scope*—the Board considered whether the definition should also capture estimates used in applying accounting policies for matters other than measuring items in financial statements (for example, estimates used in determining whether to recognise an item in the financial statements). The previous definition of a change in accounting estimate referred to 'adjustments to the carrying amount' of an asset or liability and, therefore, captured only changes in the measurement of items recognised in financial statements. The Board concluded that the amendments should not change the scope of IAS 8 and, accordingly, limited the definition to capture only monetary amounts that are subject to measurement uncertainty.

1 Measurement uncertainty is defined in the Appendix to the 2018 *Conceptual Framework* as the 'uncertainty that arises when monetary amounts in financial reports cannot be observed directly and must instead be estimated'.

2 The term 'monetary amount' does not have the same meaning as the term 'monetary item' as defined in IAS 21 *The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates*.

Changes in accounting estimates

BC45 The previous definition of a change in accounting estimate specified that changes in accounting estimates result from new information or new developments and, accordingly, are not corrections of errors. The Board concluded that it would be helpful to retain this aspect of the previous definition and specify that a change in accounting estimate may result from new information or new developments and is not the correction of an error.

BC46 The Board also concluded that, if accounting estimates are outputs of measurement techniques, it follows that changes in the inputs used, or in the measurement techniques applied to determine those outputs, result in a change in the related accounting estimate and are not the result of a change in accounting policy.

BC47 In the light of its observations summarised in paragraphs BC45–BC46, the Board specified that:

(a) a change in accounting estimate may result from new information or new developments and is not the correction of an error; and

(b) the effects of a change in an input or in a measurement technique used to develop an accounting estimate are changes in accounting estimates unless they result from the correction of prior period errors.

BC48 Feedback on the draft amendments expressed a concern that measurement techniques might meet the definition of accounting policies—for example, a valuation technique is a measurement technique but could also be seen as a practice and, therefore, meet the definition of an accounting policy. Accordingly, there is a risk that the effects of a change in a measurement technique could be seen as both a change in accounting estimate and a change in accounting policy. To avoid this risk, the Board specified in paragraph 34A that the effects of a change in measurement technique are changes in accounting estimates unless they result from the correction of prior period errors.

BC49 The Board also specified that measurement techniques an entity uses to develop accounting estimates include estimation techniques and valuation techniques. Specifying this avoids ambiguity about whether the effect of a change in an estimation technique or a valuation technique is a change in accounting estimate. The terms 'estimation techniques' and 'valuation techniques' appear in IFRS Standards—for example, IFRS 7 *Financial Instruments: Disclosures* uses the term 'estimation techniques' and IFRS 13 *Fair Value Measurement* uses the term 'valuation techniques'.

BC50 The Board observed that the term 'estimate' in IFRS Standards sometimes refers not only to accounting estimates, but also to other estimates. For example, it sometimes refers to inputs used in developing accounting estimates. As discussed in paragraph BC47(b), the Board specified that the effects on an accounting estimate of a change in an input are changes in accounting estimates. Therefore, the Board concluded it was unnecessary to also amend references to the term 'estimate' when that term refers to an input used in developing accounting estimates.

Definition of 'accounting policies'

Clarifying the definition

BC51 When it exposed the draft amendments for comment, the Board also proposed to clarify the definition of accounting policies by removing the terms 'conventions' and 'rules', and referring to 'measurement bases' instead of 'bases'. The Board expected that those changes would not change the scope of the definition. However, feedback suggested those proposed changes:

(a) might not improve the definition, because the remaining terms in the definition would remain undefined and could be open to diverse interpretations; and

(b) might unintentionally narrow the scope of the definition.

BC52 After considering this feedback, the Board concluded that it would not be feasible to define the remaining terms in the definition of accounting policies within a narrow-scope project, and that the proposed changes to the definition could have unintended consequences. Because the amendments clarify what a change in accounting estimate is, the Board concluded that changing the definition of accounting policies was unnecessary to achieve the objective of the amendments and accordingly did not change that definition.

Selecting inventory cost formulas

BC53 When it exposed the draft amendments for comment, the Board proposed clarifying that, for ordinarily interchangeable inventories, selecting a cost formula (that is, first-in, first-out (FIFO) or weighted average cost) in applying IAS 2 *Inventories* constitutes selecting an accounting policy. However, some respondents to the draft amendments said selecting a cost formula could also be viewed as making an accounting estimate. The Board observed that paragraph 36(a) of IAS 2 already states that selecting a cost formula constitutes selecting an accounting policy. The Board did not revisit this conclusion in the light of the 2021 amendments because it observed that entities rarely change the cost formula used to measure inventories and, accordingly, there would be little benefit in the Board's doing so.

Illustrative Examples

Deletion of Example 3

BC54 The Board was informed that Example 3 from *Guidance on implementing IAS 8* could cause confusion because of the way it illustrated the accounting for particular changes in the accounting for property, plant and equipment. The Board concluded that addressing this matter would require a substantial rewrite of the example, for little or no benefit. Therefore, the Board deleted Example 3.

Addition of Examples 4–5

BC55 The draft amendments included no examples illustrating the application of the amendments. Respondents to the draft amendments and feedback from subsequent outreach suggested that providing illustrative examples would help entities understand and apply the amendments. In response to this feedback, the Board added two illustrative examples (Examples 4–5). The examples are simple and their aim is limited to helping stakeholders understand how to apply the definition of accounting estimates, rather than aiming to address specific application questions.

Effect analysis

BC56 The Board concluded that the expected benefits of the 2021 amendments outweigh the costs. In particular, the 2021 amendments made the requirements in IAS 8 clearer, and feedback on the draft proposals suggested that the amendments would help entities distinguish changes in accounting policies from changes in accounting estimates.

BC57 Nonetheless, the 2021 amendments might not solve all application questions identified by stakeholders. For example, they may not clarify in all situations whether a change results from:

(a) a change in an underlying measurement objective (which would be a change in accounting policy); or

(b) a change of the measurement technique applied to achieve the same underlying measurement objective (which would be a change in accounting estimate).

BC58 However, the Board concluded that when any uncertainty remains, it could be helpful for an entity to consider the requirement in paragraph 35. That requirement states that when it is difficult to distinguish a change in an accounting policy from a change in an accounting estimate, the entity treats the change as a change in an accounting estimate.

Transition

BC59 The Board concluded that requiring an entity to apply prospectively the 2021 amendments appropriately balances expected benefits and costs. In particular, the Board assessed that the benefits of requiring an entity to apply the amendments to changes that occurred in a prior period would be minimal. Such changes would generally be non-recurring and restatement of comparative information would often not provide more useful trend information for users of financial statements.

