

# **Defined Benefit Plans: Employee Contributions**

**(proposed amendments to AASB 119)**

Comments to the AASB by 24 June 2013



**Australian Government**

---

**Australian Accounting  
Standards Board**

## Commenting on this AASB Exposure Draft

Constituents are strongly encouraged to respond to the AASB and the IASB. The AASB is seeking comment by 24 June 2013. This will enable the AASB to consider Australian constituents' comments in the process of formulating its own comments to the IASB, which are due by 25 July 2013. Comments should be addressed to:

The Chairman  
Australian Accounting Standards Board  
PO Box 204  
Collins Street West Victoria 8007  
AUSTRALIA  
E-mail: [standard@asb.gov.au](mailto:standard@asb.gov.au)

Respondents to the IASB are asked to send their comments electronically to the IFRS Foundation website ([www.ifrs.org](http://www.ifrs.org)), using the 'Comment on a proposal' page.

All submissions on possible, proposed or existing financial reporting requirements, or on the standard-setting process, will be placed on the public record unless the Chairman of the AASB agrees to submissions being treated as confidential. The latter will occur only if the public interest warrants such treatment.

## Obtaining a Copy of this AASB Exposure Draft

This AASB Exposure Draft is available on the AASB website: [www.aasb.gov.au](http://www.aasb.gov.au). Alternatively, printed copies of this AASB Exposure Draft are available by contacting:

The Customer Service Officer  
Australian Accounting Standards Board  
Level 7  
600 Bourke Street  
Melbourne Victoria  
AUSTRALIA

Phone: (03) 9617 7637  
Fax: (03) 9617 7608  
E-mail: [publications@asb.gov.au](mailto:publications@asb.gov.au)  
**Postal address:**  
PO Box 204  
Collins Street West Victoria 8007

## Other Enquiries

Phone: (03) 9617 7600  
Fax: (03) 9617 7608  
E-mail: [standard@asb.gov.au](mailto:standard@asb.gov.au)

## COPYRIGHT

© Commonwealth of Australia 2013

This document contains IFRS Foundation copyright material. Reproduction within Australia in unaltered form (retaining this notice) is permitted for personal and non-commercial use subject to the inclusion of an acknowledgment of the source. Requests and enquiries concerning reproduction and rights for commercial purposes within Australia should be addressed to The Director of Finance and Administration, Australian Accounting Standards Board, PO Box 204, Collins Street West, Victoria 8007.

All existing rights in this material are reserved outside Australia. Reproduction outside Australia in unaltered form (retaining this notice) is permitted for personal and non-commercial use only. Further information and requests for authorisation to reproduce for commercial purposes outside Australia should be addressed to the IFRS Foundation at [www.ifrs.org](http://www.ifrs.org).

ISSN 1030-5882

## AASB REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

This Exposure Draft addresses the accounting for contributions from an employee or third party when the requirement for such contributions is set out in the formal terms of a defined benefit plan. It proposes that such contributions may be recognised as a reduction in the service cost in the same period in which they are payable if, and only if, they are linked solely to the employee's service rendered in that period. An example would be contributions that are a fixed percentage of an employee's salary, so the percentage of the employee's salary does not depend on the employee's number of years of service to the employer.

In light of the Australian Accounting Standards Board's (AASB's) policy of incorporating International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs) into Australian Accounting Standards, the AASB is inviting comments on:

- (a) any of the proposals in the attached International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) Exposure Draft, including the specific questions on the proposals as listed in the Invitation to Comment section of the attached IASB Exposure Draft; and
- (b) the 'AASB Specific Matters for Comment' listed below.

Submissions play an important role in the decisions that the AASB will make in regard to a Standard. The AASB would prefer that respondents supplement their opinions with detailed comments, whether supportive or critical, on the major issues. The AASB regards both critical and supportive comments as essential to a balanced review and will consider all submissions, whether they address all specific matters, additional issues or only one issue.

### Due Date for Comments to the AASB

Comments should be submitted to the AASB by 24 June 2013. This will enable the AASB to consider those comments in the process of formulating its own comments to the IASB. Constituents are also strongly encouraged to send their response to the IASB.

### Reduced Disclosure Requirements

AASB 1053 *Application of Tiers of Australian Accounting Standards* establishes a differential reporting framework consisting of two tiers of reporting requirements for preparing general purpose financial statements:

- (a) Tier 1: Australian Accounting Standards; and
- (b) Tier 2: Australian Accounting Standards – Reduced Disclosure Requirements.

Tier 2 comprises the recognition, measurement and presentation requirements of Tier 1 and substantially reduced disclosures corresponding to those requirements.

The proposals in this Exposure Draft would not amend the disclosure requirements in AASB 119 *Employee Benefits* in a way that would be expected to give rise to any particular implications for Tier 2 disclosures.

## **AASB Specific Matters for Comment**

The AASB would particularly value comments on the following:

1. whether there are any regulatory issues or other issues arising in the Australian environment that may affect the implementation of the proposals, particularly any issues relating to:
  - (a) not-for-profit entities; and
  - (b) public sector entities, including GAAP/GFS implications;
2. whether, overall, the proposals would result in financial statements that would be useful to users;
3. whether the proposals are in the best interests of the Australian economy; and
4. unless already provided in response to specific matters for comment 1 – 3 above, the costs and benefits of the proposals relative to the current requirements, whether quantitative (financial or non-financial) or qualitative.

March 2013

Exposure Draft ED/2013/4

# Defined Benefit Plans: Employee Contributions

Proposed amendments to IAS 19

Comments to be received by 25 July 2013

# **Defined Benefit Plans: Employee Contributions**

(Proposed amendments to IAS 19)

*Comments to be received by 25 July 2013*

Exposure Draft ED/2013/4 *Defined Benefit Plans: Employee Contributions* (Proposed amendments to IAS 19) is published by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) for comment only. The proposals may be modified in the light of the comments received before being issued in final form. Comments on the Exposure Draft and the Basis for Conclusions need to be received by **25 July 2013** and should be submitted in writing to the address below or electronically via our website [www.ifrs.org](http://www.ifrs.org) using the 'Comment on a proposal' page.

All responses will be put on the public record and posted on our website unless the respondent requests confidentiality. Confidentiality requests will not normally be granted unless supported by good reason, such as commercial confidence.

**Disclaimer:** the IASB, the IFRS Foundation, the authors and the publishers do not accept responsibility for any loss caused by acting or refraining from acting in reliance on the material in this publication, whether such loss is caused by negligence or otherwise.

International Financial Reporting Standards (including International Accounting Standards and SIC and IFRIC Interpretations), Exposure Drafts and other IASB and/or IFRS Foundation publications are copyright of the IFRS Foundation.

**Copyright © 2013 IFRS Foundation®**

ISBN: 978-1-907877-86-5

**All rights reserved.** Copies of the Exposure Draft may only be made for the purpose of preparing comments to be submitted to the IASB provided that such copies are for personal or intra-organisational use only and are not sold or disseminated and each copy acknowledges the IFRS Foundation's copyright and sets out the IASB's address in full.

Except as permitted above no part of this publication may be translated, reprinted, reproduced or used in any form either in whole or in part or by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage and retrieval system, without prior permission in writing from the IFRS Foundation.

The approved text of International Financial Reporting Standards and other IASB publications is that published by the IASB in the English language. Copies may be obtained from the IFRS Foundation. Please address publications and copyright matters to:

IFRS Foundation Publications Department  
1st Floor, 30 Cannon Street, London EC4M 6XH, United Kingdom  
Tel: +44 (0)20 7332 2730 Fax: +44 (0)20 7332 2749  
Email: [publications@ifrs.org](mailto:publications@ifrs.org) Web: [www.ifrs.org](http://www.ifrs.org)



The IFRS Foundation logo/the IASB logo/'Hexagon Device', 'IFRS Foundation', 'eIFRS', 'IASB', 'IFRS for SMEs', 'IAS', 'IASs', 'IFRIC', 'IFRS', 'IFRSs', 'SIC', 'International Accounting Standards' and 'International Financial Reporting Standards' are Trade Marks of the IFRS Foundation.

The IFRS Foundation is a not-for-profit corporation under the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware, USA and operates in England and Wales as an overseas company (Company number: FC023235) with its principal office as above.

## CONTENTS

	<i>from page</i>
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>INVITATION TO COMMENT</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>QUESTIONS FOR RESPONDENTS</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>APPROVAL BY THE BOARD OF <i>DEFINED BENEFIT PLANS</i>:</b>	<b>9</b>
<b><i>EMPLOYEE CONTRIBUTIONS</i> (PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO IAS 19) PUBLISHED IN MARCH 2013</b>	



## Introduction

---

The International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) has published this Exposure Draft to set out the proposed amendments to IAS 19 *Employee Benefits*. This Exposure Draft addresses the accounting for contributions from employees or third parties when the requirement for such contributions is set out in the formal terms of a defined benefit plan. It proposes that such contributions may be recognised as a reduction in the service cost in the same period in which they are payable if, and only if, they are linked solely to the employee's service rendered in that period. An example would be contributions that are a fixed percentage of an employee's salary, so the percentage of the employee's salary does not depend on the employee's number of years of service to the employer.

## Invitation to comment

---

The IASB invites comments on the proposals in this Exposure Draft, particularly on the questions set out below. Comments are most helpful if they:

- (a) comment on the questions as stated;
- (b) indicate the specific paragraph or group of paragraphs to which they relate;
- (c) contain a clear rationale; and
- (d) include any alternative that the IASB should consider, if applicable.

The IASB is not requesting comments on matters in IAS 19 that are not addressed in this Exposure Draft.

Comments should be submitted in writing so as to be received no later than **25 July 2013**.

## Questions for respondents

---

<b>Question 1—Reduction in service cost</b>
---

<p>The IASB proposes to amend IAS 19 to specify that contributions from employees or third parties set out in the formal terms of a defined benefit plan may be recognised as a reduction in the service cost in the same period in which they are payable if, and only if, they are linked solely to the employee's service rendered in that period. An example would be contributions that are a fixed percentage of an employee's salary, so the percentage of the employee's salary does not depend on the employee's number of years of service to the employer. Do you agree? Why or why not?</p>
---

<b>Question 2—Attribution of negative benefit</b>
---

<p>The IASB also proposes to address an inconsistency in the requirements that relate to how contributions from employees or third parties should be attributed when they are not recognised as a reduction in the service cost in the same period in which they are payable. The IASB proposes to specify that the negative benefit from such contributions is attributed to periods of service in the same way that the gross benefit is attributed in accordance with paragraph 70. Do you agree? Why or why not?</p>
--

<b>Question 3—Other comments</b>
----------------------------------

<p>Do you have any other comments on the proposals?</p>
---

## [Draft] Amendments to IAS 19 *Employee Benefits*

Paragraph 93 is amended and paragraph 175 is added. New text is underlined and deleted text is struck through. Paragraph 92 is included for reference only and is not proposed for amendment.

### Actuarial assumptions: salaries, benefits and medical costs

...

92 Some defined benefit plans require employees or third parties to contribute to the cost of the plan. Contributions by employees reduce the cost of the benefits to the entity. An entity considers whether third-party contributions reduce the cost of the benefits to the entity, or are a reimbursement right as described in paragraph 116. Contributions by employees or third parties are either set out in the formal terms of the plan (or arise from a constructive obligation that goes beyond those terms), or are discretionary. Discretionary contributions by employees or third parties reduce service cost upon payment of these contributions to the plan.

93 Contributions from employees or third parties set out in the formal terms of the plan either reduce service cost (if they are linked to service), or reduce remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) (eg if the contributions are required to reduce a deficit arising from losses on plan assets or actuarial losses). Contributions from employees or third parties that are linked to service ~~in respect of service~~ are attributed to periods of service as a negative benefit in the same way that the gross benefit is attributed in accordance with paragraph 70 ~~(ie the net benefit is attributed in accordance with that paragraph)~~. However, if, and only if, contributions from employees or third parties are linked solely to the employee's service rendered in the same period in which they are payable, the contributions may be recognised as a reduction in the service cost in that period. An example would be contributions that are a fixed percentage of the employee's salary, so the percentage of the employee's salary does not depend on the employee's number of years of service to the employer.

...

### Transition and effective date

...

175 Defined Benefit Plans: Employee Contributions (Amendments to IAS 19), issued in [date to be inserted after exposure], amended paragraph 93. An entity shall apply those amendments for annual periods beginning on or after [date to be inserted after exposure] retrospectively in accordance with IAS 8 *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*. Earlier application is permitted. If an entity applies those amendments for an earlier period, it shall disclose that fact.

## **[Draft] Amendments to the Basis for Conclusions on IAS 19 *Employee Benefits***

The following footnote is added to paragraph BC150 (as marked in underline in the text):

<sup>1</sup> *Defined Benefit Plans: Employee Contributions*, issued in [date to be inserted after exposure], addressed an inconsistency in the requirements that relate to how contributions from employees or third parties should be attributed when they are not recognised as a reduction in the service cost in the same period in which they are payable. It specifies that the negative benefit from such contributions is attributed to periods of service in the same way that the gross benefit is attributed in accordance with paragraph 70. See paragraph BC5 of this Exposure Draft.

### *Other clarifications*

BC150 The Board clarified the following points in the light of responses to the 2010 ED:

- (a) Contributions from employees in respect of service should be attributed to periods of service in accordance with paragraph 70 using the benefit formula, or on a straight-line basis (ie the back-end loading test and attribution in paragraph 70 should be based on the net benefit).<sup>1</sup> This reflects the Board's view that contributions from employees can be viewed as a negative benefit. In addition, the Board noted that a portion of future employee contributions may be connected with salary increases included in the defined benefit obligation. Applying the same method of attribution to that portion of the contribution and the salary increases avoids an inconsistency.
- (b) ...

**Approval by the Board of *Defined Benefit Plans: Employee Contributions* (Proposed amendments to IAS 19) published in March 2013**

---

The Exposure Draft *Defined Benefit Plans: Employee Contributions* was approved for publication by the fifteen members of the International Accounting Standards Board.

Hans Hoogervorst	Chairman
Ian Mackintosh	Vice-Chairman
Stephen Cooper	
Philippe Danjou	
Martin Edelmann	
Jan Engström	
Patrick Finnegan	
Amaro Luiz de Oliveira Gomes	
Prabhakar Kalavacherla	
Patricia McConnell	
Takatsugu Ochi	
Darrel Scott	
Mary Tokar	
Chungwoo Suh	
Wei-Guo Zhang	

## **Basis for Conclusions on the Exposure Draft *Defined Benefit Plans: Employee Contributions* (Proposed amendments to IAS 19)**

*This Basis for Conclusions accompanies, but is not part of, the proposed amendments.*

### **Contributions from employees or third parties: amendments issued in 2013**

---

- BC1 The IASB proposes to amend IAS 19 *Employee Benefits* to specify that contributions from employees or third parties set out in the formal terms of a defined benefit plan may be recognised as a reduction in the service cost in the same period in which they are payable if, and only if, they are linked solely to the employee's service rendered in that period.
- BC2 The issue originated from a submission to the IFRS Interpretations Committee (the Interpretations Committee), which recommended that the IASB amend IAS 19. The Interpretations Committee discussed, by considering some examples, whether certain types of employee contributions to a defined benefit plan reduce short-term employee benefits cost instead of reducing post-employment benefits cost. The Interpretations Committee observed that the wording in paragraph 93 of IAS 19 seemed to suggest that all employee contributions in respect of service should be attributed to periods of service as a negative benefit. However, employee contributions that are linked solely to the employee's service rendered in the same period in which they are payable (for example, if the contributions are a fixed percentage of salary throughout the period of the employment) might also be considered to reduce the cost of short-term employee benefits.
- BC3 The IASB observed that contributions from employees or third parties to a defined benefit plan form part of the post-employment benefit rather than the short-term employee benefit. Consequently, the IASB is not proposing that the contributions be treated as a reduction in short-term employee benefits cost.
- BC4 The IASB noted that contributions from employees or third parties to a defined benefit plan should be attributed to periods of service as a negative benefit. This is because the measurement of the defined benefit obligation should consider (the present value of) those future contributions that relate to the employee's service before the reporting date. However, the IASB noted the general concern about the complexity of the required calculations and the potential confusion that these could introduce to practice.
- BC5 Consequently, the IASB decided to add a practical expedient to paragraph 93. The IASB proposes to amend paragraph 93 so that contributions from employees or third parties may be excluded from being attributed to periods of service as a negative benefit and recognised as a reduction in the service cost in that period if, and only if, they are linked solely to the employee's service rendered in the same period in which they are payable. An example of a situation that qualifies for the practical expedient would be contributions that are a fixed percentage of an employee's salary, so the percentage of the employee's salary does not depend on the employee's number of years of service to the employer. In this case, the

contributions are in proportion to the salary and thus it could be considered that they are linked solely to the employee's service rendered each year. On the other hand, if there is, for example, an increase in the percentage of the salary that an employee is required to contribute relative to the number of years of service, then higher contributions would be required in the latter years. These higher contributions could be considered to be linked not only to the service in the current year but also to the service in other years. In this second case, the contributions are not linked solely to the employee's service that is rendered in the same period in which the contributions are payable.

BC6 The IASB considered whether it should require the disclosure of the amount by which the service cost is reduced when applying the proposed amendment, but decided that it should not do so. It noted that contributions from employees or third parties in the scope of paragraph 93 are contributions to a defined benefit plan and thus are subject to the disclosure requirements that are already set out in IAS 19. For example, paragraph 141(f) requires a disclosure of contributions to the plan in the reconciliation for the net defined benefit liability (asset), showing separately those by the employer and those by the plan participants.

BC7 In the discussion of the proposed amendments above, the IASB observed that paragraph 93 first states that contributions from employees or third parties in respect of service are attributed to periods of service as a negative benefit in accordance with paragraph 70, and then states that the net benefit is attributed in accordance with paragraph 70. This has caused confusion because paragraph 93 is unclear as to whether the back-end loading test in paragraph 70 should be performed on the net benefit, or on the gross benefit and the negative benefit separately. The IASB observed that performing the test on the net benefit will result in added complexity. Depending on how the attribution test is applied, the outcome of the test may be influenced by changes in the assumptions from one year to another. Consequently, the IASB proposes to specify in paragraph 93 that the negative benefit from contributions from employees or third parties should be attributed to periods of service in the same way that the gross benefit is attributed in accordance with paragraph 70, when they are not recognised as a reduction in the service cost in the same period in which they are payable.



