

Compiled Interpretation

Interpretation 9

Reassessment of Embedded Derivatives

This compiled UIG Interpretation applies to annual reporting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2009 that end before 30 June 2009. Early application is permitted. It incorporates relevant amendments made up to and including 24 September 2007.

Prepared on 8 October 2009 by the staff of the Australian Accounting Standards Board.



Australian Government

**Australian Accounting
Standards Board**

Obtaining Copies of Interpretations

Compiled versions of Interpretations, original Interpretations and amending pronouncements (see Compilation Details) are available on the AASB website: www.aasb.gov.au.

Printed copies of original Interpretations and amending pronouncements are available for purchase by contacting:

The Customer Service Officer
Australian Accounting Standards Board
Level 7
600 Bourke Street
Melbourne Victoria
AUSTRALIA

Postal address:
PO Box 204
Collins Street West Victoria 8007
AUSTRALIA

Phone: (03) 9617 7637
Fax: (03) 9617 7608
E-mail: publications@aaasb.gov.au
Website: www.aasb.gov.au

Other Enquiries

Phone: (03) 9617 7600
Fax: (03) 9617 7608
E-mail: standard@aaasb.gov.au

COPYRIGHT

© 2009 Commonwealth of Australia

This compiled UIG Interpretation contains International Accounting Standards Committee Foundation copyright material. Reproduction within Australia in unaltered form (retaining this notice) is permitted for personal and non-commercial use subject to the inclusion of an acknowledgment of the source. Requests and enquiries concerning reproduction and rights for commercial purposes within Australia should be addressed to The Director of Finance and Administration, Australian Accounting Standards Board, PO Box 204, Collins Street West, Victoria 8007.

All existing rights in this material are reserved outside Australia. Reproduction outside Australia in unaltered form (retaining this notice) is permitted for personal and non-commercial use only. Further information and requests for authorisation to reproduce for commercial purposes outside Australia should be addressed to the International Accounting Standards Committee Foundation at www.iasb.org.

CONTENTS

COMPILATION DETAILS

COMPARISON WITH IFRIC 9

UIG INTERPRETATION 9 REASSESSMENT OF EMBEDDED DERIVATIVES

	<i>Paragraphs</i>
References	
Background	1 – 2
Scope	3 – 5
Issue	6
Consensus	7 – 8
Application	Aus8.1 – Aus8.4

BASIS FOR CONCLUSIONS ON IFRIC 9 *Page 10*

UIG Interpretation 9 *Reassessment of Embedded Derivatives* (as amended) is set out in paragraphs 1 – Aus8.4. Interpretations are listed in Australian Accounting Standard AASB 1048 *Interpretation and Application of Standards*. In the absence of explicit guidance, AASB 108 *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors* provides a basis for selecting and applying accounting policies.

COMPILATION DETAILS

UIG Interpretation 9 *Reassessment of Embedded Derivatives* as amended

This compiled Interpretation applies to annual reporting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2009 that end before 30 June 2009. It takes into account amendments up to and including 24 September 2007 and was prepared on 8 October 2009 by the staff of the Australian Accounting Standards Board (AASB).

This compilation is not a separate Interpretation issued by the AASB. Instead, it is a representation of Interpretation 9 (April 2006) as amended by other pronouncements, which are listed in the Table below.

Table of Pronouncements

Pronouncement	Month issued	Application date (<i>annual reporting periods ... on or after ...</i>)	Application, saving or transitional provisions
Interpretation 9	Apr 2006	<i>(beginning)</i> 1 Jun 2006	
AASB 2007-8	Sep 2007	<i>(beginning)</i> 1 Jan 2009	see (a) below
AASB 2008-3	Mar 2008	<i>(beginning)</i> 1 Jul 2009	not compiled*
AASB 2009-3	Apr 2009	<i>(ending)</i> 30 Jun 2009	not compiled*
AASB 2009-4	May 2009	<i>(beginning)</i> 1 Jul 2009	not compiled*

* The amendments made by this Standard are not included in this compilation, which presents the principal Interpretation as applicable to annual reporting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2009 that end before 30 June 2009.

(a) Entities may elect to apply this Standard to annual reporting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2005 but before 1 January 2009 provided that AASB 101 *Presentation of Financial Statements* (September 2007) is also applied to such periods.

Table of Amendments

Paragraph affected	How affected	By ... [paragraph]
Aus8.1	amended	AASB 2007-8 [7, 8]
Aus8.4	amended	AASB 2007-8 [8]

COMPARISON WITH IFRIC 9

Interpretation 9 and IFRIC 9

UIG Interpretation 9 *Reassessment of Embedded Derivatives* as amended incorporates International Financial Reporting Interpretations Committee Interpretation IFRIC 9 *Reassessment of Embedded Derivatives*, issued by the International Accounting Standards Board. Paragraphs that have been added to this Interpretation (and do not appear in the text of IFRIC 9) are identified with the prefix “Aus”, followed by the number of the preceding IFRIC paragraph and decimal numbering.

Compliance with IFRIC 9

Entities that comply with Interpretation 9 as amended will simultaneously be in compliance with IFRIC 9 as amended.

INTERPRETATION 9

UIG Interpretation 9 was issued in April 2006.

This compiled version of Interpretation 9 applies to annual reporting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2009 that end before 30 June 2009. It incorporates relevant amendments contained in other AASB pronouncements up to and including 24 September 2007 (see Compilation Details).

URGENT ISSUES GROUP

INTERPRETATION 9

REASSESSMENT OF EMBEDDED DERIVATIVES

References

Accounting Standard AASB 1 *First-time Adoption of Australian Equivalents to International Financial Reporting Standards*

Accounting Standard AASB 3 *Business Combinations*

Accounting Standard AASB 139 *Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement*

Background

- 1 Accounting Standard AASB 139 *Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement* paragraph 10 describes an embedded derivative as ‘a component of a hybrid (combined) instrument that also includes a non-derivative host contract – with the effect that some of the cash flows of the combined instrument vary in a way similar to a stand-alone derivative.’
- 2 AASB 139 paragraph 11 requires an embedded derivative to be separated from the host contract and accounted for as a derivative if, and only if:

- (a) the economic characteristics and risks of the embedded derivative are not closely related to the economic characteristics and risks of the host contract;
- (b) a separate instrument with the same terms as the embedded derivative would meet the definition of a derivative; and
- (c) the hybrid (combined) instrument is not measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognised in profit or loss (i.e. a derivative that is embedded in a financial asset or financial liability at fair value through profit or loss is not separated).

Scope

- 3 Subject to paragraphs 4 and 5 below, this Interpretation applies to all embedded derivatives within the scope of AASB 139.
- 4 This Interpretation does not address remeasurement issues arising from a reassessment of embedded derivatives.
- 5 This Interpretation does not address the acquisition of contracts with embedded derivatives in a business combination nor their possible reassessment at the date of acquisition.

Issue

- 6 AASB 139 requires an entity, when it first becomes a party to a contract, to assess whether any embedded derivatives contained in the contract are required to be separated from the host contract and accounted for as derivatives under the Standard. This Interpretation addresses the following issues:
 - (a) Does AASB 139 require such an assessment to be made only when the entity first becomes a party to the contract, or should the assessment be reconsidered throughout the life of the contract?
 - (b) Should a first-time adopter make its assessment on the basis of the conditions that existed when the entity first became a party to the contract, or those prevailing when the entity adopts Australian equivalents to IFRSs for the first time?

Consensus

- 7 An entity shall assess whether an embedded derivative is required to be separated from the host contract and accounted for as a derivative

when the entity first becomes a party to the contract. Subsequent reassessment is prohibited unless there is a change in the terms of the contract that significantly modifies the cash flows that otherwise would be required under the contract, in which case reassessment is required. An entity determines whether a modification to cash flows is significant by considering the extent to which the expected future cash flows associated with the embedded derivative, the host contract or both have changed and whether the change is significant relative to the previously expected cash flows on the contract.

- 8 A first-time adopter shall assess whether an embedded derivative is required to be separated from the host contract and accounted for as a derivative on the basis of the conditions that existed at the later of the date it first became a party to the contract and the date a reassessment is required by paragraph 7.

Application

Aus8.1 This Interpretation applies to:

- (a) each entity that is required to prepare financial reports in accordance with Part 2M.3 of the *Corporations Act 2001* and that is a reporting entity;
- (b) general purpose financial statements of each other reporting entity; and
- (c) financial statements that are, or are held out to be, general purpose financial statements.

Aus8.2 This Interpretation applies to annual reporting periods beginning on or after 1 June 2006.

[Note: For application dates of paragraphs changed or added by an amending pronouncement, see Compilation Details.]

Aus8.3 This Interpretation may be applied to annual reporting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2005 but before 1 June 2006, permitting early application in the context of adopting all Australian equivalents to International Financial Reporting Standards for such periods. Early application is encouraged. An entity that is required to prepare financial reports in accordance with Part 2M.3 of the *Corporations Act* may apply this Interpretation to such annual reporting periods when an election has been made in accordance with subsection 334(5) of the *Corporations Act* in relation to AASB 1048 *Interpretation and Application of Standards*. When an entity applies this

Interpretation to such an annual reporting period, it shall disclose that fact.

Aus8.4 The requirements specified in this Interpretation apply to the financial statements where information resulting from their application is material in accordance with AASB 1031 *Materiality*.

Effective Date and Transition re IFRIC 9

9 [Deleted by the UIG]

BASIS FOR CONCLUSIONS ON IFRIC 9

This IFRIC Basis for Conclusions accompanies, but is not part of, IIG Interpretation 9. The UIG considers that this Basis for Conclusions is an essential feature of the Interpretation. An IFRIC Basis for Conclusions may be amended to reflect the requirements of the UIG Interpretation and AASB Accounting Standards where they differ from the corresponding International pronouncements.

Introduction

- BC1 This Basis for Conclusions summarises the IFRIC's considerations in reaching its consensus. Individual IFRIC members gave greater weight to some factors than to others.
- BC2 As explained below, the IFRIC was informed that uncertainty existed over certain aspects of the requirements of IAS 39 *Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement* relating to the reassessment of embedded derivatives. The IFRIC published proposals on the subject in March 2005 as D15 *Reassessment of Embedded Derivatives* and developed IFRIC 9 after considering the thirty comment letters received.
- BC3 IAS 39 requires an entity, when it first becomes a party to a contract, to assess whether any embedded derivative contained in the contract needs to be separated from the host contract and accounted for as a derivative under the Standard. However, the issue arises whether IAS 39 requires an entity to continue to carry out this assessment after it first becomes a party to a contract, and if so, with what frequency. The Standard is silent on this issue and the IFRIC was informed that as a result there was a risk of divergence in practice.
- BC4 The question is relevant, for example, when the terms of the embedded derivative do not change but market conditions change and the market was the principal factor in determining whether the host contract and embedded derivative are closely related. Instances when this might arise are given in paragraph AG33(d) of IAS 39. Paragraph AG33(d) states that an embedded foreign currency derivative is closely related to the host contract provided it is not leveraged, does not contain an option feature, and requires payments denominated in one of the following currencies:
- (a) the functional currency of any substantial party to that contract;
 - (b) the currency in which the price of the related good or service that is acquired or delivered is routinely denominated in

commercial transactions around the world (such as the US dollar for crude oil transactions); or

- (c) a currency that is commonly used in contracts to purchase or sell non-financial items in the economic environment in which the transaction takes place (e.g. a relatively stable and liquid currency that is commonly used in local business transactions or external trade).

BC5 Any of the currencies specified in (a)–(c) above may change. Assume that when an entity first became a party to a contract, it assessed the contract as containing an embedded derivative that was closely related (because it was in one of the three categories in paragraph BC4) and hence not accounted for separately. Assume that subsequently market conditions change and that if the entity were to reassess the contract under the changed circumstances it would conclude that the embedded derivative is not closely related and therefore requires separate accounting. (The converse could also arise.) The issue is whether the entity should make such a reassessment.

Reassessment of embedded derivatives

BC6 The IFRIC noted that the rationale for the requirement in IAS 39 to separate embedded derivatives is that an entity should not be able to circumvent the recognition and measurement requirements for derivatives merely by embedding a derivative in a non-derivative financial instrument or other contract (for example, by embedding a commodity forward in a debt instrument). Changes in external circumstances (such as those set out in paragraph BC5) are not ways to circumvent the Standard. The IFRIC therefore concluded that reassessment was not appropriate for such changes.

BC7 The IFRIC noted that as a practical expedient IAS 39 does not require the separation of embedded derivatives that are closely related. Many financial instruments contain embedded derivatives. Separating all of these embedded derivatives would be burdensome for entities. The IFRIC noted that requiring entities to reassess embedded derivatives in all hybrid instruments could be onerous because frequent monitoring would be required. Market conditions and other factors affecting embedded derivatives would have to be monitored continuously to ensure timely identification of a change in circumstances and amendment of the accounting treatment accordingly. For example, if the functional currency of the counterparty changes during the reporting period so that the contract is no longer denominated in a currency of one of the parties to the contract, then a reassessment of the hybrid instrument would be required at the date of change to ensure the correct accounting treatment in future.

BC8 The IFRIC also recognised that although IAS 39 is silent on the issue of reassessment it gives relevant guidance when it states that for the types of contracts covered by paragraph AG33(b) the assessment of whether an embedded derivative is closely related is required only at inception. Paragraph AG33(b) states:

An embedded floor or cap on the interest rate on a debt contract or insurance contract is closely related to the host contract, provided the cap is at or above the market rate of interest and the floor is at or below the market rate of interest *when the contract is issued*, and the cap or floor is not leveraged in relation to the host contract. Similarly, provisions included in a contract to purchase or sell an asset (e.g. a commodity) that establish a cap and a floor on the price to be paid or received for the asset are closely related to the host contract if both the cap and floor were out of the money *at inception* and are not leveraged. (Emphasis added)

BC9 The IFRIC also considered the implications of requiring subsequent reassessment. For example, assume that an entity, when it first becomes a party to a contract, separately recognises a host asset and an embedded derivative liability. If the entity were required to reassess whether the embedded derivative was to be accounted for separately and if the entity concluded some time after becoming a party to the contract that the derivative was no longer required to be separated, then questions of recognition and measurement would arise. In the above circumstances, the IFRIC identified the following possibilities:

- (a) the entity could remove the derivative from its balance sheet and recognise in profit or loss a corresponding gain or loss. This would lead to recognition of a gain or loss even though there had been no transaction and no change in the value of the total contract or its components.
- (b) the entity could leave the derivative as a separate item in the balance sheet. The issue would then arise as to when the item was to be removed from the balance sheet. Should it be amortised (and, if so, how would the amortisation affect the effective interest rate of the asset), or should it be derecognised only when the asset is derecognised?
- (c) the entity could combine the derivative (which is recognised at fair value) with the asset (which is recognised at amortised cost). This would alter both the carrying amount of the asset and its effective interest rate even though there had been no change in the economics of the whole contract. In some cases, it could also result in a negative effective interest rate.

The IFRIC noted that, under its view that subsequent reassessment is appropriate only when there has been a change in the terms of the contract that significantly modifies the cash flows that otherwise would be required by the contract, the above issues do not arise.

BC10 The IFRIC noted that IAS 39 requires an entity to assess whether an embedded derivative needs to be separated from the host contract and accounted for as a derivative when it first becomes a party to a contract. Consequently, if an entity purchases a contract that contains an embedded derivative it assesses whether the embedded derivative needs to be separated and accounted for as a derivative on the basis of conditions at that date.

BC11 The IFRIC considered an alternative approach of making reassessment optional. It decided against this approach because it would reduce comparability of financial information. Also, the IFRIC noted that this approach would be inconsistent with the embedded derivative requirements in IAS 39 that either require or prohibit separation but do not give an option. Accordingly, the IFRIC concluded that reassessment should not be optional.

First-time adopters of IFRSs

BC12 In the Implementation Guidance with IFRS 1 *First-time Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards*, paragraph IG55 states:

When IAS 39 requires an entity to separate an embedded derivative from a host contract, the initial carrying amounts of the components at the date when the instrument first satisfies the recognition criteria in IAS 39 reflect circumstances at that date (IAS 39, paragraph 11). If the entity cannot determine the initial carrying amounts of the embedded derivative and host contract reliably, it treats the entire combined contract as a financial instrument held for trading (IAS 39, paragraph 12). This results in fair value measurement (except when the entity cannot determine a reliable fair value, see IAS 39, paragraph 46(c)), with changes in fair value recognised in profit or loss.

BC13 This guidance reflects the principle in IFRS 1 that a first-time adopter should apply IFRSs as if they had been in place from initial recognition. This is consistent with the general principle used in IFRSs of full retrospective application of Standards. The IFRIC noted that the date of initial recognition referred to in paragraph IG55 is the date when the entity first became a party to the contract and not the date of first-time adoption of IFRSs. Accordingly, the IFRIC concluded that IFRS 1 requires an entity to assess whether an embedded derivative is

required to be separated from the host contract and accounted for as a derivative on the basis of conditions at the date when the entity first became a party to the contract and not those at the date of first-time adoption.