

29 October 2007

David Boymal
The Chairman
Australian Accounting Standards Board
PO Box 204
Collins Street West VIC 8007
E Mail: standard@aasb.com.au

Peter Clark
Senior Project Officer
International Accounting Standards Board
30 Cannon Street
London EC4M 6XH
United Kingdom
E Mail: CommentLetters@iasb.org

Dear Sirs

ITC 13: Request for Comment on IASB Discussion Paper Preliminary Views on Insurance Contracts

The Institute commends the work of both the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) and the Australian Accounting Standards Board (AASB) in the formulation of the Discussion Paper in the first instance and the extensive roundtable and consultative process undertaken.

Divergent accounting practices for insurance contracts around the world are not sustainable and therefore we support the objective of the IASB to issue a comprehensive standard dealing with all insurance contracts. Support and adoption by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) will add significant stature to any new IASB standard on insurance. Therefore we encourage the IASB to actively pursue FASB support.

The Institute supports the Discussion Paper issued by the IASB with a view to adoption in Australia. Our support is based on the principles outlined in the paper which apply the three building blocks approach to the measurement of insurance liabilities.

We understand, through our discussion with industry representatives that a number of practical application problems exist with the proposed model. We therefore support the submission made by the Accountants and Actuaries Liaison Committee in identifying and analyzing these application issues. We request that further analysis be done on these areas in order to achieve a practical solution for entities holding insurance contracts.

33 Erskine Street Sydney NSW 2000 GPO Box 3921 Sydney NSW 2001 service > 1300 137 322 phone > 61 2 9290 1344 fax > 61 2 9262 1512

27–29 Napier Close Deakin ACT 2600 phone > 61 2 6282 9600 fax > 61 2 6282 9800

L32, 345 Queen Street Brisbane Old 4000 phone > 61 7 3233 6500 fax > 61 7 3233 6555

L11, 1 King William Street Adelaide SA 5000 phone > 61 8 8113 5500 fax > 61 8 8231 1982

TCCI, 30 Burnett Street North Hobart Tas 7000 phone > 1800 014 555 fex > 61 3 9670 3143

L3, 600 Bourke Street Melbourne Vic 3000 phone > 61 3 9641 7400 fax > 61 3 9670 3143

Grd, 20 The Esplanade Penh WA 6000 phone > 61 8 9420 0400 fax > 61 8 9321 5341



We also note that the Discussion Paper provides very limited guidance in relation to potential disclosure. Given the subjective nature of many aspects of insurance accounting, we expect that extensive disclosure of assumptions, methodologies and accounting treatments will be needed to ensure that financial reports of insurers provide meaningful information.

The areas of particular concern to industry participants include:

- 1. Accounting mismatch issues, which can be material to Australian insurers with unit linked portfolios, are not adequately addressed by the Discussion Paper. To provide meaningful financial analysis of insurers' performance we see merit in having a fair value concept for all assets backing insurance and associated contracts
- 2. The model conceptually could lead to Day 1 gains and losses, however given the competitive nature of the Australian industry we consider that the standard should note that this situation should be rare and only recognized after careful consideration of the high level of uncertainty surrounding the development of future claims. Again, where such losses or gains do exist, appropriate disclosure should be provided.
- 3. The requirement to measure liabilities at observed market prices will not be practical in Australia due to there being no local secondary market for insurance contracts. This could result in significant subjectivity in determining and supporting the risk or service margins a market participant would demand. The industry considers entity-specific data to be more meaningful and more readily available than market data.
- 4. The Discussion Paper suggests that diversification benefits cannot be taken into account when determining current exit values (and by association the risk margins), however this is fundamental to how a general insurer operates.

The support for the introduction of exit value measurement into insurance liabilities should not been seen as support for the introduction of this same concept for financial reporting in other sectors. We consider that other sectors may have similar contracts to 'insurance contracts' and thereby any extension of this concept into other areas should be subject to its own due process at the appropriate time.

If you require any further information please contact Kerry Hicks, Head of Reporting, on 029290 5703.

Yours faithfully
but balmers

Bill Palmer

General Manager Standards and Public Affairs