

Minutes

Subject: Minutes of the 189th meeting of the AASB

Venue: Videoconference

Held: Wednesday, 3 August 2022, 10.00 am - 4.10 pm

All agenda items were discussed in public, except for items 1 and 11.

Attendance

Members Keith Kendall (Chair)

Carolyn Cordery (part of meeting)

James Grant

Dean Hanlon (part of meeting)

David Holland

Toby Langley (part of meeting)

Sean Osborn

Caroline Spencer (part of meeting)

Stephen Taylor

Carolyn Cordery (11.30 am - 1.15 pm) **Apologies**

Dean Hanlon (12.15 pm - 2.05 pm) Toby Langley (2.30 pm - 3.30 pm)

Paul Rogers

Caroline Spencer (3.35 pm – 4.10 pm)

Alison White (Deputy Chair)

In attendance

Staff Clark Anstis

Patricia Au

Aanchal Bhandari Kimberley Carney Nikole Gyles

Siobhan Hammond

Ao Li

Maggie Man Carmen Ridley Helena Simkova James Wake Jia Wei

Justin Williams



Agenda and Chair's Report

Agenda Item 1 - discussed in private

The Chair noted the significant items on the agenda and provided the Board with an update on recent and future activities.

Apologies, Declarations of Interests, Minutes and Approvals Out of Session

Agenda Item 2

Apologies

Apologies for the meeting were noted from Paul Rogers and Alison White. Apologies were also noted from Carolyn Cordery, Dean Hanlon, Toby Langley and Caroline Spencer for parts of the meeting.

Declarations of Interests

Members indicated that, in the normal course of their day-to-day professional responsibilities, they deal with a broad range of financial reporting issues. Members have adopted the standing policy in respect of declarations of interest that a specific declaration will be made where there is a particular interest in an issue before the Board.

Sean Osborn declared a specific interest due to his HoTARAC involvement for agenda items 4 and 8.

Minutes

The Board approved the minutes of the one hundred and eighty-eighth meeting, held on 22-23 June 2022.

Approvals Out of Session

The Board noted that no documents had been approved out of session since the previous meeting report.

Not-for-Profit Private Sector Financial Reporting Framework

Agenda Item 3

The Board delegated final approval of the Discussion Paper (DP) on its proposals for Tier 3 reporting requirements for not-for-profit (NFP) private sector entities to a subcommittee. The DP is expected to be issued in early October 2022 with a consultation period of 6 months. The Board also decided not to develop Tier 4 proposals for smaller NFP private sector entities.

The Board discussed a number of sweep and other issues and decided that Tier 3 reporting requirements should:

(a) allow an entity to apply related Tier 3 requirements to account for transactions and other events that are not specifically addressed and not explicitly scoped out from the Tier 3 Standard;



- (b) allow an entity to consider the principles and requirements for Tier 1 and Tier 2 reporting dealing with similar and related issues when applying judgement in developing accounting policies for transactions and other events that are explicitly scoped out of the Tier 3 requirements and where no guidance is provided in Tier 2 requirements;
- exclude business combinations from the scope of the Standard (in addition to scope exclusions previously decided by the Board);
- (d) include simpler requirements for government bonds and units held in managed investment schemes and unit trusts as basic financial assets:
- (e) not require an entity to recognise embedded derivatives and derivative financial instruments that are not readily identifiable and measurable;
- (f) align with AASB 9 Financial Instruments to identify when cost may be an appropriate estimate of the fair value of financial assets, for example when there is a wide range of possible fair value measurements and cost represents the best estimate of fair value within that range;
- (g) require a parent entity that presents consolidated financial statements to prepare equity-accounted financial statements;
- (h) require a parent entity that presents separate financial statements to measure its interests in subsidiaries at cost, at fair value through other comprehensive income or by applying the equity method of accounting. However, the parent entity would not be permitted to apply the equity method of accounting to measure its interests in associates and joint ventures for those financial statements;
- allow an accounting policy choice for an investor that is not a parent entity to measure its interests in associates and joint ventures at cost, at fair value through other comprehensive income or by applying the equity method of accounting;
- (j) not permit an entity to subsequently revalue non-financial assets acquired for significantly less than fair value if those assets were initially measured at cost; and
- (k) not include guidance on the accounting for employee on-costs.

The Board decided to seek feedback through the DP to consider the extent of use of intangible assets by small not-for-profit private sector entities and the types of intangible assets involved.

The Board also decided that Tier 3 requirements would be reviewed no more than once every AASB agenda consultation cycle (5 years) following any revisions from a post-implementation review, if necessary, in accordance with the AASB Due Process Framework for Setting Standards.

Action:	Staff
	Subcommittee



Insurance Activities in the Public Sector

Agenda Item 4

The Board considered the feedback received in submissions on Exposure Draft AASB ED 319/ NZASB ED 2022-3 *Insurance Contracts in the Public Sector* from both Australian and New Zealand stakeholders.

In respect of the indicators for identifying public sector arrangements within the scope of AASB 17 *Insurance Contracts*, the Board decided to rank the six indicators proposed in ED 319 by:

- (a) making the existence of an 'identifiable coverage period' and the 'enforceable nature of an arrangement' pre-requisites for applying AASB 17;
- (b) identifying 'source and extent of funding' and the 'similarity of risks covered and benefits provided' as primary indicators that would usually have relevance on a sliding scale; and
- (c) identifying 'management practices and assessing financial performance' and 'assets held to pay benefits' as secondary indicators that might help an entity determine whether AASB 17 applies when the other indicators are not definitive.

In addition, the Board decided to include the following further guidance in AASB 17 regarding indicators:

- (a) the 'similarity of risks covered and benefits provided' indicator explain that similarity between the risks and the benefits themselves is the focus, not the level of riskiness;
- (b) the 'identifiable coverage period' indicator add guidance regarding a person's inherent status in meeting eligibility criteria for participation in a scheme versus the existence of an identifiable insurance coverage period;
- (c) the 'enforceable nature of an arrangement' indicator extend the existing guidance in AASB 17 about the place of law and regulation as a part of an insurance contract; and
- (d) the 'management practices and assessing financial performance' indicator place more emphasis on underwriting, which is a key feature of insurance.

The Board will consider examples of applying the indicators with a view to assessing whether they would assist stakeholders.

In respect of risk adjustments for measuring insurance liabilities, the Board decided:

- (a) consistent with its proposal in ED 319, not to modify the requirements in AASB 17;
- (b) not to give guidance on whether a public sector entity could conclude that it can have a zero risk adjustment for measuring insurance liabilities, on the grounds that:
 - (i) there is sufficient guidance in AASB 17 Appendix A explains that a risk adjustment for non-financial risk is the compensation an entity seeks for bearing risk and paragraph B87 explains that whether an entity will have a positive risk adjustment would depend on its level of



indifference between certain cash flows and uncertain cash flows with the same central estimate; and

- (ii) any additional guidance could be perceived as a modification of AASB 17; and
- (c) not to add any public-sector-specific disclosure requirements.

The Board decided to monitor two emerging issues that might arise in the public sector: adverse development covers and investment components.

The Board noted that the due process for finalising the project might require the exposure of a Fatal-Flaw Review Draft Standard or possibly a revised ED. The Board will consider responses to other proposals in ED 319 at its next meeting and will also then address the next steps and timeline for completing the project.

Action: Staff

Agenda Consultation

Agenda Item 5

The Board approved the Feedback Statement for the recent AASB Agenda Consultation process for publication. The Statement will be finalised out of session by a subcommittee.

Action: Staff

Subcommittee

Sustainability Reporting

Agenda Item 6

The Board received an update on international sustainability reporting developments. The Board also noted the joint AASB-AUASB submission to the International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB).

Action: Staff

IPSASB Consultation Paper Advancing Public Sector Sustainability Reporting

Agenda Item 7

The Board considered targeted stakeholder feedback on the IPSASB Consultation Paper *Advancing Public Sector Sustainability Reporting*. Subject to feedback from the FRC and the AUASB, the Board decided to support the IPSASB's Preliminary Views. In addition, the Board decided that the submission to the IPSASB should include the following comments:



(a) it would be essential for the IPSASB to have Board members and staff members with experience and knowledge in sustainability reporting to be successful in developing sustainability reporting guidance;

(b) to keep pace with the ISSB's work and the work of other sustainability standard setters, the IPSASB should consider aligning its priority sustainability reporting topics with the plans of those standard setters; and

(c) when developing public-sector-specific sustainability reporting guidance, the IPSASB should not aim to merely align with the ISSB's objectives and principles but should consider the specific needs of users of public sector sustainability information and develop guidance to cater for those needs. The IPSASB should consider:

 developing sustainability reporting guidance that would address the wide range of accountability obligations of a government to its community, and not focus only on the activities of a government or an entity;

(ii) given that private sector entities have a different focus, whether the objectives and principles of any private-sector sustainability standards or guidance would apply to public sector entities;

(iii) whether users would require information at a public sector entity level, a departmental level and/or a whole-of-government level;

(iv) the scope and frequency of sustainability reporting that would provide useful information to users. For example, users might benefit from disclosures that highlight any significant movements from the prior year, rather than an annual update on all in-depth scenario analyses;

(v) the materiality threshold for disclosing sustainability information that would result in reporting that would meet users' needs;

(vi) whether there would be an overlap between the information presented in service performance reports and sustainability reports; and

(vii) whether the benefits to users would outweigh the implementation costs and ongoing compliance costs.

A subcommittee of the Board will finalise the submission out of session, after considering any further stakeholder feedback.

Action:	Staff
	Subcommittee



Post-Implementation Reviews

Agenda Item 8

The Board received an update on its Post-implementation Review (PIR) projects. In respect of the PIR of AASB 1059 *Service Concession Arrangements: Grantors*, the Board approved issuing an Invitation to Comment (ITC) for a 150-day comment period. A subcommittee of the Board is expected to finalise the ITC out of session in September 2022.

Action: Staff
Subcommittee

Other Business

Agenda Item 9

The Board noted the IASB and IFRS Interpretations Committee updates, a report on the IPSASB's June meeting and other items.

Action: Staff

Documents Open for Comment

Agenda Item 10

The Board decided to comment on the exposure draft of *Australian Charities and Not-for-profits Commission Regulations 2022*. The Board also decided not to comment on the APESB Exposure Draft 03/22 *Proposed Standards: APES 205 Conformity with Accounting Standards*.

The Board noted its previous decisions about commenting on the consultative documents already issued by international standard-setting bodies.

Action: Staff

Review of the Meeting

Agenda Item 11 - discussed in private

The Board reviewed the conduct of the meeting.





Close of the Meeting

The Chair closed the meeting at 4.10 pm on Wednesday, 3 August 2022.

Approval of Minutes

Signed by the Chair as a correct record, this 21st day of September 2022